arXiv:math/0204209v3 [math.GM] 28 Oct 2003

On the polycirculant conjecture

Aleksandr Golubchik
(Osnabrueck, Germany)

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In the paper the foundation of the k-orbit theory is developed. The theory opens a new simple way to the investigation of groups and multidimensional symmetries.

The relations between combinatorial symmetry properties of a k-orbit and its automorphism group are found. It is found the local property of a k-orbit. The difference between 2-closed group and m-closed group for $m > 2$ is discovered. It is explained the specific property of Petersen graph automorphism group n-orbit. It is shown that any non-trivial primitive group contains a transitive imprimitive subgroup and as a result it is proved that the automorphism group of a vertex transitive graph (2-closed group) contains a regular element (polycirculant conjecture).

Using methods of the k-orbit theory, it is considered different possibilities of permutation representation of a finite group and shown that the most informative, relative to describing of the structure of a finite group, is the permutation representation of the lowest degree. Using this representation it is obtained a simple proof of the W. Feit, J.G. Thompson theorem: Solvability of groups of odd order. It is described the enough simple structure of lowest degree representation of finite groups and found a way to constructing of the simple full invariant of a finite group.

To the end, using methods of k-orbit theory, it is obtained one of possible polynomial solutions of the graph isomorphism problem.

1 Introduction

A permutation group G on a n-element set V is called regular, if its every stabilizer (a subgroup that fixes some element $v \in V$) is trivial. Every permutation of the regular group can be decomposed into cycles of the same length.

A permutation group, containing a regular subgroup, is called semiregular.

Let G be a permutation group on a n-element set V, V^k be Cartesian power of V and $V^{(k)} \subset V^k$ be the non-diagonal part of V^k, i.e. every k-tuple of $V^{(k)}$ has k different values of its coordinates. The action of G on V forms the partition of $V^{(k)}$ on classes of k-tuples related with G. This partition is called the system of k-orbits of G on $V^{(k)}$ and we write it as $Orb_k(G)$. If $\langle v_1 \dots v_k\rangle \in V^{(k)}$, then $G\langle v_1 \dots v_k\rangle \equiv \{\langle gv_1 \dots gv_k\rangle : g \in G\}$ is a k-orbit from $Orb_k(G)$.

For considered tasks it is of interest a maximal subgroup of $Aut(Orb_k(G))$ that maintains k-orbits from $Orb_k(G)$. We shall denote this subgroup as $aut(Orb_k(G))$. Thus $aut(Orb_k(G)) \equiv \cap_{X_k \in Orb_k(G)} Aut(X_k)$ and $G \leq aut(Orb_k(G))$.

Definition 1 *We call a permutation group G a k-*defined *group, if $G = aut(Orb_k(G))$.*

There is the obvious property of a k-defined group:

Proposition 2 *If a group G is k-defined, then it is $(k+1)$-defined.*

Proof: If a group G is k-defined, then, on the one hand, $aut(Orb_{k+1}(G)) < aut(Orb_k(G)) = G$ and, on the other hand, $G < aut(Orb_{k+1}(G)$. $\square$

The k-defined group is called k-closed if it is not $(k-1)$-defined.

P. Cameron [1] has described the conjecture of M. Klin, that every 2-closed transitive group is semiregular, and the similar polycirculant conjecture of D. Marušič, that every vertex-transitive finite graph has a regular automorphism.

We shall prove these conjectures in the next reformulation:

Definition 3 *We shall emphasize in the conventional definition of primitivity and imprimitivity of permutation groups the case of cyclic group of a prime order. We shall say that these groups are* trivial primitive *and* trivial imprimitive. *The reason of such consideration will be clear below.*

Theorem 4 *The* 2*-closure of a transitive, imprimitive permutation group contains a regular element.*

Lemma 5 *A primitive permutation group contains a transitive, imprimitive subgroup.*

In order to prove these statements we shall study symmetry properties of k-orbits.[1]

2 k-Orbits

A k-orbit X_k is a set of k-tuples with property $X_k = Aut(X_k)\alpha_k$ for a k-tuple $\alpha_k \in X_k$. Such k-sets we shall call *automorphic* k-sets.

All, what is written below, can become easier for understanding, if to represent a k-orbit as a matrix, whose lines are k-tuples and columns are values of coordinates of k-tuples. A k-orbit can be represented by various matrices that differ by lines permutation. Various orders of lines in matrices demonstrate various symmetry properties of k-orbit. For example 3-orbit of symmetric group S_3 we can represent as

$$\left\| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right\| \quad \text{or} \quad \left\| \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{array} \right\| .$$

In order to indicate number of k-tuples in a k-orbit X_k of power l we shall call it (l,k)-orbit or write as X_{lk}.

k-Orbits have the next general number property:

Proposition 6 *Let X_k be a k-orbit and $l \leq k$, then all l-tuples with the same coordinates $i_1, \ldots i_l \in [1,k]$ form a homogeneous multiset (i.e. all l-tuples in this multiset have the same multiplicity).*

Proof: Let two k-subsets $Y_k(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_l), Z_k(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_l) \subset X_k$ consist of all k-tuples, that have their l coordinates $i_1, \ldots i_l$ equal to $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_l$ and $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_l$ correspondingly. Let $g \in Aut(X_k)$ be such permutation that $\langle v_1 \ldots v_l \rangle = \langle gu_1 \ldots gu_l \rangle$, then $Z_k = gY_k$. $\square$

---

[1] The objects, that generalize symmetry properties of k-orbits, were applied by author for the polynomial solution of graph isomorphism problem. The part of such investigations is used in `http://arXiv.org/find/math/1/AND+au:+Golubchik_Aleksandr+ti:+AND+polynomial+algorithm/0/1/0/2002/0/1`

Following constructions simplify the study of k-orbits. We call a k-orbit as a *cyclic k-orbit* or simply a *k-cycle*, if it is generated by single permutation. A k-cycle, that consists of l k-tuples, we write as (l, k)-cycle. The order of a generating k-cycle permutation can differ from the number of k-tuples in the k-cycle. The structure of k-cycles is enough simple and can be represented with four structure elements:

Example 7

$$\left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{array}\right\|, \quad \left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{array}\right\|, \quad \left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & 6 \end{array}\right\|, \quad \left\|\begin{array}{cccc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 6 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 5 \end{array}\right\|.$$

The first example shows the $(2, 4)$-cycle that is a *concatenation* of two $(2, 2)$-cycles. Such (k, k)-cycle, that is a k-orbit of a cycle of length k, we shall call a *k-rcycle*. This term is an abbreviation from a “right cycle” and indicates on invariance of such (k, k)-cycle relative to cyclic permutation of not only its k-tuples but also the coordinates of k-tuples, or on invariance of the (k, k)-cycle relative to not only the left but also the right action of permutation (s. below).

The second is the $(2, 4)$-cycle with fix-points. It is represented by the concatenation of the 2-rcycle and the trivial $(2, 2)$-multiorbit, consisting of the single 2-tuple. Such k-multiorbit we shall call a *k-multituple* or (l, k)-multituple.

The third example is the concatenation of the 2-rcycle and a 2-orbit that consists of two 2-tuples with not intersected values of coordinates. This kind of (l, k)-orbit we shall call *S_l^k-orbit*. It designates that this (l, k)-orbit consists of lk elements of V and its automorphism group is subdirectproduct of symmetric groups $S_l(B_i)$, where $B_i \subset V$, $i \in [1, k]$, $|B_i| = l$ and $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. From this definition follows that any $(l, 1)$-orbit (l-element set) is S_l^1-orbit.

The fourth example shows the possible structure of a k-cycle whose length is not prime. It is seen that the fourth case can be represented through first three cases. So these three cases are fundamental for constructing of any k-orbit of any finite group.

One of our tasks is the study of a permutation action on k-orbits. Indeed, there exist different possibilities of the permutation action on k-orbits, which are arisen from their different symmetry properties.

We shall start with consideration of permutation actions on a n-orbit X_n of a group G of the degree n.

2.1 The actions of permutations on n-sets

A n-orbit X_n of a group G is a set of all n-tuples, any pair of which defines a permutation from G. So we can represent any n-tuple $\alpha_n = \langle u_1 \dots u_n \rangle$ as a permutation

$$g_{\alpha_n} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ u_1 & \dots & u_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where n-tuple $\langle v_1 \dots v_n \rangle$ is related to the unit of G and will be called as the *initial* n-tuple. Of course, any n-tuple from X_n can be chosen as the initial. The property of the initial n-tuple is the equality of number value to order value of each its coordinate. Here is accepted that sets of number values and order values of coordinates are equal and for ordering of coordinates it is determinate (any time if it is necessary) certain linear order on this set. The next example shows two different orders of coordinates of the same 2-orbit:

$$\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array}\right\|, \qquad \left\|\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array}\right\|.$$

In first case the initial 2-tuple is $\langle 12\rangle$, in the second it is $\langle 21\rangle$.

Further we shall take the next rule for permutation multiplication:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ u_1 & \dots & u_n \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc} v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ w_1 & \dots & w_n \end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc} w_1 & \dots & w_n \\ x_1 & \dots & x_n \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc} v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ w_1 & \dots & w_n \end{array}\right).$$

From this rule follows that the left action of the permutation

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ u_1 & \dots & u_n \end{array}\right)$$

on the n-tuple $\alpha_n = \langle w_1 \dots w_n\rangle$ gives the n-tuple $\beta_n = \langle x_1 \dots x_n\rangle$ that can be considered as:

1. the changing of (number) values of coordinates of the n-tuple α_n;
2. the mapping of n-tuple α_n coordinate-wise on a n-tuple β_n.

The right action of the permutation

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ w_1 & \dots & w_n \end{array}\right)$$

on the n-tuple $\alpha_n = \langle u_1 \dots u_n\rangle$ gives the n-tuple $\beta_n = \langle x_1 \dots x_n\rangle$ that can be interpreted as:

1. the permutation of coordinates of the n-tuple α_n;
2. the mapping of n-tuple α_n coordinate-wise on a n-tuple β_n.

We shall choose every time such interpretation of permutation action that will be more suitable.

If a n-orbit X_n contains a n-tuple $\alpha_n = \langle v_1 \dots v_n\rangle$, then $X_n = \{\langle gv_1 \dots gv_n\rangle : g \in G\}$ and $|X_n| = |G|$. Here we have used the first method of permutation action on n-tuple, namely: a permutation g changes values of coordinates of α_n or acts on the permutation g_{α_n} from left (gg_{α_n}). We shall say also that a permutation g acts from left on the n-tuple α_n and write this action as $g\alpha_n$.

The second method gives $X_n = \{\langle v_{g1} \dots v_{gn}\rangle : g \in G\}$. It is an action of a permutation g on the order of coordinates of n-tuple α_n or the action $g_{\alpha_n}g$ of g on g_{α_n} from right. We shall say in this case that g acts on the n-tuple α_n from right and write this action as $\alpha_n g$.

n-Orbits of left, right cosets of a subgroup. Let A be a subgroup of G, Y_n be a n-orbit of A and $g \in G$, then gY_n is a subset of X_n that represents permutations from a left coset of A in G and a subset $Y_n g$ represents permutations from a right coset of A in G.

Definition 8 *For convenience we shall write the sets of left $G\backslash A$ and right G/A cosets of A in G as $GA \equiv \{gA : g \in G\}$ and $AG \equiv \{Ag : g \in G\}$.*

The defined notation can be easily distinguished from the group multiplication, because the product of a group with its subgroup is always trivial. The same reasoning will be used in the like formulas by the action of a group on k-orbits.

Corresponding to this remark we write $GY_n = \{gY_n : g \in G\}$, $Y_nG = \{Y_ng : g \in G\}$, $AX_n = \{A\alpha_n : \alpha_n \in X_n\}$ and $X_nA = \{\alpha_nA : \alpha_n \in X_n\}$.

From this definition and notions of left and right cosets of a subgroup we obtain:

Lemma 9 *Let n-orbit Y_n of a subgroup $A < G$ contains initial n-tuple, then partitions of X_n on n-subsets of left, right cosets of A in G are $L_n = GY_n = X_nA$ and $R_n = Y_nG = AX_n$.*

Let Y_n be a n-orbit of a subgroup A of a group G and $g \in G$.

Lemma 10 *The n-subset $Y_n g$ is also a n-orbit of the subgroup A.*

Proof: The right action of a permutation on a n-orbit changes the order of coordinates of n-tuples. The permutation that is defined by any pair of n-tuples does not depend on order of coordinates, hence every permutation that is defined by any pair of n-tuples from $Y_n g$ belongs to A. $\Box$

Lemma 11 *The n-subset gY_n is a n-orbit of the conjugate to A subgroup $B = gAg^{-1}$.*

Proof: The n-subsets gY_n and $gY_n g^{-1}$ define, as in lemma 10, the same sets of permutations from G. But the set of n-tuples $gY_n g^{-1}$ is by definition equivalent to the set of permutations $B = gAg^{-1}$. $\Box$

Proposition 12 *n-Subsets of left and right cosets of a subgroup $A < G$ are connected with elements of G.*

Proof: Let Y_n be a n-orbit of A, Y'_n be the n-subset of a left coset of A and Y''_n be the n-subset of a right coset of A, then there exist permutations $g, f \in G$ so that $Y'_n = gY_n$ and $Y''_n = Y_n f$. Hence $Y'_n = gY''_n f^{-1}$. $\Box$

We shall say further "n-orbit of coset" instead of "n-subset of coset", in order to show that this n-subset is a n-orbit. It will be referred also to a k-subset, if it is a k-orbit.

Proposition 13 *Let H be a normal subgroup of G, then sets of n-orbits of left and right cosets of H are equal and if to choose an arbitrary n-tuple from n-orbit Y_n of an arbitrary coset of H as the initial, then $Y_n = gY_n g^{-1}$ for any permutation $g \in G$.*

Proof: The sets of n-orbits of left and right cosets of H are equal, because the sets of left and right cosets of H are equal.

$Y_n = gY_n g^{-1}$ for every permutation $g \in G$, because the choice of the initial n-tuple determines an equivalence between Y_n and H relative to the defined above action of G on its n-orbit. $\Box$

Proposition 14 *Let A be a subgroup of a group G, Y_n be a n-orbit of A and Y'_n, Y''_n be n-orbits of left and right cosets of A correspondingly. Let $Y'_n = Y''_n \neq Y_n$, then A has non-trivial normalizer $N_G(A)$.*

Proof: There exist permutations $g, f \in G \setminus A$ so that $Y'_n = gY_n = Y_n f = Y''_n$. From this equality and lemma 10 follows that $gY_n g^{-1}$ is a n-orbit of A. As Y_n contains the initial n-tuple, then $gY_n g^{-1} \cap Y_n \neq \emptyset$ and hence $gY_n g^{-1} = Y_n$. So $gAg^{-1} = A$. $\Box$

Proposition 15 *Let A be a subgroup of G, $g, f \in G$, $gag^{-1} = a$ for every $a \in A$, $faf^{-1} \neq a$ for some $a \in A$ and $fAf^{-1} = A$. Let Y_n be a n-orbit of A and $\overrightarrow{Y_n}$ be the arbitrary ordered set Y_n, then $g\overrightarrow{Y_n} = \overrightarrow{Y_n}g$, $fY_n = Y_n f$, but $f\overrightarrow{Y_n} \neq \overrightarrow{Y_n}f$.*

Lemma 16 *Let X_n be a n-orbit of a group G and L_n be a partition of X_n. If the left action of G on X_n maintains L_n, then classes of L_n are n-orbits of left cosets of some subgroup of G.*

Proof: Let $Y_n \in L_n$. Since L_n is a partition, the left action of $Aut(Y_n)$ on Y_n is transitive and hence Y_n is a n-orbit. $\Box$

The same we have

Lemma 17 *Let X_n be a n-orbit of a group G and R_n be a partition of X_n. If the right action of G on X_n maintains R_n, then classes of R_n are n-orbits of right cosets of some subgroup of G.*

Intersections and unions of left- and right-automorphic partitions.

Proposition 18 *Let Y_n and Z_n be n-orbits, then $T_n = Y_n \cap Z_n$ is a n-orbit and $Aut(T_n) = Aut(Y_n) \cap Aut(Z_n)$.*

Proof: It is sufficient to choose an initial n-tuple from T_n. □

Definition 19 *Let M be a set and A be a system of subsets of M. We say that A is a covering of M if classes of A contain all elements of M and have non-vacuous intersections. If the all intersections are vacuous then we say that (the covering) A is a partition of M. So we say that A is a covering, if it is not a partition. We say also that A is a covering, if we do not know, whether it is a partition.*

Definition 20 *Let X_n be a n-orbit of a group G and Y_n be an arbitrary subset of X_n, then we say that $L_n = GY_n$ is* left-automorphic *and $R_n = Y_nG$ is* right-automorphic *covering of X_n. This definition we shall apply also to corresponding coverings of a k-orbit X_k of a group G for $k < n$.*

Corollary 21 *Let L_n and R_n be partitions of a n-orbit of a group G on n-orbits of left and right cosets of a subgroup $A < G$, then L_n and R_n are left-automorphic and right-automorphic partitions.*

Definition 22 *Let M be a set and P, Q be partitions of M. We write:*

- *$P \sqsubset Q$ if for every $A \in P$ there exists $B \in Q$, so that $A \subset B$.*
- *$P \sqcap Q$ for partition of M that consists of intersections of classes from P and Q.*
- *$P \sqcup Q$ for partition of M whose class is a union of intersected classes from P and Q.*

Proposition 23 *Let $A, B < G$, then $GA \sqcap GB = G(A \cap B)$, $AG \sqcap BG = (A \cap B)G$, $GA \sqcup GB = Ggr(A, B)$ and $AG \sqcup BG = gr(A, B)G$.*

Proof:

- Since $GA \sqcap GB = G(GA \sqcap GB)$ and $A \cap B \in GA \sqcap GB$, $GA \sqcap GB = G(A \cap B)$. Analogously $AG \sqcap BG = (A \cap B)G$.
- Let $\{A_i : i \in [1, l]\} \subset GA$, $\{B_j : j \in [1, m]\} \subset GB$, $A_1 = A$, $B_1 = B$ and $U = \cup_{(i=1,l)} A_i = \cup_{(j=1,m)} B_j$, then $U \in GA \sqcup GB$ and $e \in U$. Let $f \in A_i$, $g \in A_k$ and B_j has non-vacuous intersections with A_i and A_k, then $f = gaba'$ for some elements $a, a' \in A$ and $b \in B$. It shows that every element from U can be represented as a product of elements from A and B. Hence $U = gr(A, B)$ and $GA \sqcup GB = Ggr(A, B)$. The same $AG \sqcup BG = gr(A, B)G$. □

From this proposition follows:

Lemma 24 *Let $A, B < G$ and $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$, then $X_nA \sqcap X_nB = X_n(A \cap B)$, $AX_n \sqcap BX_n = (A \cap B)X_n$, $X_nA \sqcup X_nB = X_ngr(A, B)$ and $AX_n \sqcup BX_n = gr(A, B)X_n$.*

Intersection and union of left-automorphic partition with right-automorphic partition. Let $L_n = X_n A$ and $R_n = AX_n$. First we see that L_n and R_n have at least one common class the n-orbit of A containing initial n-tuple. Then from proposition 14 we know that if L_n and R_n have more as one common class, then A has non-trivial normalizer in G.

Lemma 25 *Let $L_n \sqcap R_n$ be not trivial, i.e. it contains a class Z_n by power l, where $1 < l < |A|$, then conjugate to A subgroups have non-trivial intersections and Z_n is a n-orbit of a some subgroup $B < A$.*

Proof: Let $U_n \in L_n$, $W_n \in R_n$ and $Z_n = U_n \cap W_n$, then U_n is a n-orbit of some conjugate to A subgroup D and W_n is a n-orbit of A. Taking in opinion that we can choose an initial n-tuple from Z_n, we obtain that Z_n is a n-orbit of a subgroup $B = A \cap D$. □

Corollary 26 *Let A be a prime order cyclic group, then $L_n \sqcap R_n$ is trivial.*

The union $L_n \sqcup R_n$ can contain non-automorphic classes:

$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 123\\ 213\\ \hline 132\\ 312\\ \hline 231\\ 321\\ \hline \end{array} \sqcup \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 123\\ 213\\ \hline 132\\ 231\\ \hline 312\\ 321\\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 123\\ 213\\ \hline 132\\ 231\\ 312\\ 321\\ \hline \end{array}$$

and therefore it is not of interest for investigation, nevertheless the symmetry properties of this union can give an information about the structure of the studied group G and help to find subgroups of G that are supergroups for A.

2.2 The actions of permutations on k-sets

In order to consider the actions of permutations on k-sets we shall need to have some special operations that we introduce from the beginning.

2.2.1 Operations on k-sets

Projecting and multiprojecting operators. Let $\alpha_k = \langle v_1 \dots v_k \rangle$ be a k-tuple, $l \leq k$ and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l$ be l different coordinates from $[1, k]$. Then $\beta_l = \langle v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_l} \rangle$ is a l-tuple that we call a *projection* of the k-tuple α_k on the ordered set of coordinates $I_l = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l\}$. We shall enter a projecting operator $\hat{p}$ and write this projection as $\beta_l = \hat{p}(I_l)\alpha_k$. The projection of all k-tuples of a k-set X_k on I_l gives a l-set $X_l = \hat{p}(I_l)X_k$.

The projection of all k-tuples of the k-set X_k on I_l, that distinguishes the equal l-tuples, is a multiset that we call a *multiprojection* of X_k on I_l and denote it as $\uplus_{X_k} X_l$ or simply $\uplus X_l$, if from context it is clear, what a multiprojection we consider. By definition $|\uplus X_l| = |X_k|$. Using a multiprojecting operator $\hat{p}_{\uplus}$, we shall write that $\uplus X_l = \hat{p}_{\uplus}(I_l)X_k$.

Concatenating operation. Let $\beta_l = \langle v_1 \dots v_l \rangle$ and $\gamma_m = \langle u_1 \dots u_m \rangle$ be l- and m-tuple, then $(l+m)$-tuple $\langle v_1 v_2 \dots v_l u_1 u_2 \dots u_m \rangle$ we call a concatenation of β_l and γ_m and write this as $\beta_l \circ \gamma_m$.

It will be also suitable to use the concatenation of intersected tuples. We shall consider such concatenation as multiset of coordinates, for example $\langle 12 \rangle \circ \langle 23 \rangle = \langle 1223 \rangle$.

We shall use this concatenating operation also for multisets of tuples in the next way:

Let $\uplus Y_l$ and $\uplus Z_m$ be multisets with the same number of tuples and $\phi : \uplus Y_l \leftrightarrow \uplus Z_m$, then $\uplus Y_l \overset{\phi}{\circ} \uplus Z_m$ is the $(m+l)$-multiset, that consists of concatenations of l-tuples of Y_l with m-tuples of Z_m accordingly to the map ϕ. We shall not write the map ϕ, if it is clear from context.

Operation properties.

Lemma 27 *l-projection of a k-orbit is a l-orbit.*

Let g be a permutation, $\alpha_n = \langle v_1 \dots v_n\rangle$ be a n-tuple and I_k be a k-subspace.

Lemma 28 $g\hat{p}(I_k)\alpha_n = \hat{p}(I_k)g\alpha_n$.

Proof: It is sufficient to show the equality for $I_k \in [1,k]$.

$$g\hat{p}(I_k)\alpha_n = g\langle v_1 \dots v_k\rangle = \langle gv_1 \dots gv_k\rangle$$

and

$$\hat{p}(I_k)g\alpha_n = \hat{p}(I_k)\langle gv_1 \dots gv_n\rangle = \langle gv_1 \dots gv_k\rangle. \ \Box$$

Lemma 29 $\hat{p}(I_k)(\alpha_n g) = \hat{p}(gI_k)\alpha_n$.

Proof:

$$\hat{p}(I_k)(\alpha_n g) = \hat{p}([1,k])\langle v_{g1} \dots v_{gn}\rangle = \langle v_{g1} \dots v_{gk}\rangle$$

and

$$\hat{p}(gI_k)\alpha_n = \hat{p}(g[1,k])\langle v_1 \dots v_n\rangle = \hat{p}(\{g1 < \dots < gk\})\langle v_1 \dots v_n\rangle = \langle v_{g1} \dots v_{gk}\rangle. \ \Box$$

The equality $\hat{p}(I_k)(\alpha_n g) = (\hat{p}(I_k)\alpha_n)g = \alpha_k(I_k, \alpha_n)g$ has not an interest application, because the corresponding right permutation action on k-tuple α_k cannot be disengaged from the n-tuple α_n, as it takes place by the left permutation action on k-tuple α_k. But we shall write for convenience $\alpha_k g$ instead of $\hat{p}(I_k)(\alpha_n g)$, where it will not lead to misunderstanding. Similarly, we consider a k-projection of equalities $GY_n = X_n A$ and $AX_n = Y_n G$ (lemma 9).

From $\hat{p}(I_k)GY_n = \hat{p}(I_k)X_n A$, it follows $G\hat{p}(I_k)Y_n = \hat{p}(AI_k)X_n$, where on definition 8

$$\hat{p}(AI_k)X_n \equiv \{\hat{p}(AI_k)\alpha_n : \alpha_n \in X_n\} \text{ and } \hat{p}(AI_k)\alpha_n = \{\hat{p}(aI_k)\alpha_n : a \in A\},$$

so we can write the k-projection of this equality as $GY_k(I_k) = \hat{p}(AI_k)X_n$ or (by correct understanding) simply as $GY_k = X_k A$.

For the second equality we have $\hat{p}(I_k)AX_n = A\hat{p}(I_k)X_n = AX_k(I_k)$ and

$$\hat{p}(I_k)Y_n G = \hat{p}(GI_k)Y_n \equiv \{\hat{p}(gI_k)Y_n : g \in G\}.$$

For convenience we will write the k-projection of second equality simply as $AX_k = Y_k G$.

Proposition 30 *Let X_n be a n-set and P_n, Q_n be two partitions of X_n. Let $P_k = \hat{p}(I_k)P_n$ and $Q_k = \hat{p}(I_k)Q_n$ be partitions of $X_k = \hat{p}(I_k)X_n$. It does not necessitate the equality $\hat{p}(I_k)(P_n \sqcap Q_n) = \hat{p}(I_k)P_n \sqcap \hat{p}(I_k)Q_n)$.*

Proof: $X_n = \{15, 26, 36, 45\}$, $P_n = \{\{15, 26\}, \{36, 45\}\}$ and $Q_n = \{\{15, 36\}, \{26, 45\}\}$. $\Box$

2.2.2 Some additional definitions and auxiliary statements

Definition 31 *The presentation $GY_k = \{gY_k : g \in G\}$ that we use for the action of a group G on a k-subset Y_k we shall apply also for the action of a group G on a system of k-subsets P_k as $GP_k = \{gP_k : g \in G\}$ and $gP_k = \{gY_k : Y_k \in P_k\}$.*

Definition 32 *Let M be a set and Q be a set of subsets of M, then we write $\cup Q \equiv \cup_{(U \in Q)} U$. From such definition follows that for GP_k we can consider two kinds of unions: $\cup GP_k$ and $\cup\cup GP_k$. For example, let we have two sets $\{\{1,2\},\{2,3\}\}$ and $\{\{2,3\},\{4,5\}\}$, then the first union of these sets is the set $\{\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{4,5\}\}$ and the second is $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$.*

The symbol $\sqcup$ we shall apply to union of intersected classes of a system of sets, as in example: $\sqcup\{\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{4,5\}\} = \{\{1,2,3\},\{4,5\}\}$.

Definition 33 *Let α_k be a k-tuple. We shall write the set of coordinates of α_k as $Co(\alpha_k)$. We shall use this notation also for a k-set Y_k, where $Co(Y_k) = \{Co(\alpha_k) : \alpha_k \in Y_k\}$.*

Lemma 34 *Let M be a m-element set and $\uplus M$ be a homogeneous multiset with multiplicity k. Let P_m be a multipartition of $\uplus M$ on k-element multisubsets of M, then P_m is a union of k distributions of m elements of set M between m k-element classes of P_m.*

Proof: We do an induction on m. Let us to represent P_m as $m \times k$ matrix, whose lines are classes of P_m. Let $m = 1$, then the statement is evidently correct. Let $m > 1$ and first $l \leq k$ lines contains all k occurences of an element $u \in M$. By permutation of elements in these l lines we can placed element u in all k columns. Now by permutation of elements in k columns we can replaced element u in the first line. Thus we have obtained $(m-1) \times k$ matrix (without the first line) that by induction hypothesis can be transformed (with permutation of elements in lines) to $m-1$ different elements in each column. Now we need only to do the inverse permutation of element u from the first line with corresponding elements in other $l-1$ lines. □

From this lemma follows

Corollary 35 *Let M be a m-element set and $M_2 = \uplus M \circ \uplus M$, where $\uplus M$ is homogeneous, then M_2 can be partition in m-element subsets that are concatenations $M \circ M$.*

Proof: Let $|\uplus M|/|M| = k$, M_2 be associated with space $I_2 = I_1^1 \circ I_1^2$ and L_2 be the partition of M_2 on k-element classes so that $\hat{p}(I_1^1)L_2 = M$, then $\hat{p}(I_1^2)L_2 = P_m$ from lemma 34. □

Lemma 36 *Let M_2 be a set of pairs that is associated with space $I_2 = I_1^1 \circ I_1^2$. Let $\hat{p}_{\uplus}(I_1^1)M_2 = \hat{p}_{\uplus}(I_1^2)M_2$, then M_2 can be partition in cycles.*

Proof: Let $\langle u_1 u_2 \rangle \in M_2$, then there exists a pair $\langle u_2 u_3 \rangle \in M_2$. The continuation gives a first cycle $C_2 = \{\langle u_1 u_2 \rangle, \langle u_2 u_3 \rangle, \ldots, \langle u_r u_1 \rangle\}$. The set $M_2 \setminus C_2$ holds the property of the set M_2. □

Definition 37 *Let Y_k be a k-subset defined on the subset $U \subset V$, then under $Aut(Y_k)$ we shall understand the permutation group on the set U. An extension of $Aut(Y_k)$ on the set V we shall write as $Aut(Y_k; V)$.*

Definition 38 *Let $c_1 c_2 \ldots c_l$ be decomposition of a permutation g. Product a of some cycles from this decomposition we shall call a* subdecomposition *of g and write this as $a \subset g$.*

Let a be a subdecomposition of an automorphism $g \in G$. We shall call the permutation a as a subautomorphism *of G and write this as $a \subset\in G$. Let B be an intransitive subgroup of G and $A(U)$ be a transitive component of B on the subset $U \subset V$. We shall write this fact as $A(U) << G$ and say that $A(U)$ is a projection of B. It is clear that $A(U)$ is generated by some subautomorphisms of G.*

We can consider an action of a subautomorphism a on a k-orbit X_k of a group G, extending it to an action of some automorphism $g \in G$.

Definition 39 *Let X_k be a k-orbit of a group G and $Y_k \subset X_k$ be a k-orbit of a subgroup of G, then we say that Y_k is a k-suborbit of G.*

Definition 40 *Let G be a group, $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$, I_k be a k-subspace and $Co(I_k)$ be a 1-orbit of some subgroup $A < G$, then we say that number k is automorphic, I_k is an* automorphic subspace *and $X_k = \hat{p}(I_k)X_n$ is a* right-automorphic k-orbit *or a* k-rorbit.

2.2.3 The left action of permutations on k-sets

The left action of a permutation on a k-set is the same as its action on a n-set. The right action of a permutation on a k-set follows from its action on a n-set. The right action is not just visible combinatorially as the left action, so we shall begin with the left action.

We say that two sets of k-tuples Y_k and Y'_k are S_n-*isomorphic* or simply isomorphic if there exists permutation $g \in S_n$ so that $Y'_k = gY_k$, for example $Y_2 = \{\langle 12\rangle, \langle 21\rangle\}$ and $Y'_2 = \{\langle 13\rangle, \langle 31\rangle\}$. We shall say that Y_k and Y'_k are G-isomorphic, if $g \in G < S_n$ and we study invariants of G. We shall not indicate a group relative to that we consider the symmetry, if it is clear from context. From this definition follows:

Proposition 41 *Let X_k be a k-orbit and Y_k be an arbitrary k-subset of X_k, then $Aut(X_k)Y_k$ is a covering of X_k on isomorphic to Y_k classes.*

and

Corollary 42 *n-Orbits of left cosets of a subgroup A of a group G are isomorphic.*

The n-orbits of right cosets of a subgroup A in general are not isomorphic. An example is 3-orbits of the subgroup $A = gr((12)) < S_3$:

$$\left\|\begin{array}{|ccc|} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{array}\right\| \text{ and } \left\|\begin{array}{|ccc|} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{array}\right\|.$$

The same is valid for k-orbits of left, right cosets of a subgroup A by $k < n$.

Proposition 43 *Let G be a group, $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$, $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$ and $A < G$, then*

- *n-Orbits of left cosets of A form a partition of X_n.*
- *The G-isomorphic k-orbits of left cosets of A belong to the same k-orbit X_k and form a covering of X_k.*

Proof: The first statement is evident. Let $Y_k \in Orb_k(A)$ be subset of X_k , then a covering $L_k = GY_k$ of X_k contains all G-isomorphic to Y_k k-orbits. The example of such covering is $L_1 = \{\{1, 2\}\{2, 3\}\{1, 3\}\}$ $\Box$

A k-orbit X_k of a group G can have different representations through k-orbits of the same subgroup $A < G$, because X_k can contain non-isomorphic k-orbits of A. For example, 1-orbit of the symmetric group S_n can be represented, on the one hand, as a covering by 1-orbits of left cosets of $gr((12\ldots(n-1)))$ that are $(n-1)$-element subsets of V and, on the other hand, as a partition on 1-orbits of left cosets of this subgroup that are 1-element subsets of V.

Lemma 44 *Let $A < G$, $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$, $Y_n \subset X_n$ be a n-orbit of A and $Y_k = \hat{p}(I_k)Y_n$. Let $U_n \subset X_n$ be the union of such classes of GY_n whose I_k-projection is Y_k, then U_n is a n-orbit.*

Proof: $Aut(U_n) = Aut(Y_k; V) \cap G$. $\Box$

The subset U_n can contain not all n-tuples whose I_k-projections belongs to Y_k. An example is given by the group S_3, $U_3 = Y_3 = \{123, 213\}$ and $k = 1$:

$$\left\|\begin{array}{|c|cc|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|.$$

In this case $U_1 = \{1, 2\}$ and the intersections $\{1, 2\} \cap \{1, 3\}$ and $\{1, 2\} \cap \{2, 3\}$ are not vacuous.

Now we consider when a subgroup $A < G$ forms a partition of a k-orbit $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$. Let a k-set X_k be a k-projection of a $(k+1)$-set X_{k+1}, then we shall say that X_{k+1} is an *extension* of X_k on a $(k+1)$-subspace or is a $(k+1)$-extension of X_k.

Lemma 45 *Let $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $A < G$ and $X_kA = GY_k$ be a partition. Let $X_{k+1} \in Orb_{k+1}(G)$ be an extension of X_k, then X_kA generates a partition $X_{k+1}A$.*

Proof: Let $Y_{k+1} \subset X_{k+1}$ and $Y_k = \hat{p}(I_k)Y_{k+1}$. If GY_{k+1} is not a partition, then evidently GY_k contains intersected classes. $\square$

Lemma 46 *Let $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $A, B < G$ be not conjugate subgroups or A, B be conjugate subgroups and $Y_k, Z_k \subset X_k$ be not isomorphic k-orbits of A and B correspondingly. Let Y_k, Z_k be intersected and $X_kA = GY_k, X_kB = GZ_k$ be partitions, then $X_kA \sqcup X_kB = X_kgr(A, B)$.*

Proof: $G(GY_k \sqcup GZ_k) = GY_k \sqcup GZ_k$. Then $X_kA \sqcup X_kB = GT_k = X_kC$, where $C = gr(A, B)$ accordingly to lemma 24. $\square$

Without condition $Y_k \cap Z_k \neq \emptyset$ the equality $X_kA \sqcup X_kB = X_kgr(A, B)$ can lead to misunderstanding for conjugate subgroups A, B. Consider an example:

$$\begin{array}{||cc||} \hline 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}\, , \quad \begin{array}{||cc||} \hline 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}\, .$$

- The subgroups $A = gr((12))$ and $B = gr((13))$ are conjugate in $G = S_3$, so they determine the same partitions of 2-orbits of G, if we consider isomorphic (not intersected) 2-orbits of these subgroups. Therefore in this case $X_kA \sqcup X_kB = X_kA \neq X_kgr(A, B)$.

- If we consider not isomorphic and not intersected 2-orbits of the same subgroup $A = gr((12))$, then we have two different partitions L_1, L_2 of 2-orbit X_2 of S_3. So it can be seen that $X_2A \sqcup X_2A \neq X_2gr(A, A) = X_2A$. This misanderstansing follows from interpretation X_2A, first, as S_3Y_2', $Y_2' = \{12, 21\}$, and, second, as S_3Y_2'', $Y_2'' = \{13, 23\}$, where $Y_2' \cap Y_2'' = \emptyset$. If we take $Y_2'' = \{12, 32\}$, then $A = gr((12))$, $B = gr((13))$ and formula $X_kA \sqcup X_kB = X_kgr(A, B)$ gives correct result.

Lemma 47 *Let $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $Y_k \subset X_k$, $\alpha_k \in Y_k$ and Y_k be a maximal subset with property: $Co(Y_k) = Co(\alpha_k)$, then $L_k = GY_k$ is a partition of X_k.*

Proof: On the condition the permutations of coordinates of Y_k that maintains Y_k maintains also each class of $L_k = GY_k$. $\square$

In the next statements we shall study reverse question. Namely, when a subset of a k-orbit of a group G generates a subgroup of G.

Lemma 48 *Let $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$ and L_k be a partition of X_k. If the left action of G on X_k maintains L_k, then classes of L_k are k-orbits of left cosets of some subgroup $A < G$.*

Proof: Let $Y_k \in L_k$, then $L_k = GY_k$, hence a subgroup $A = Aut(Y_k : V) \cap G$ acts on Y_k transitive. $\square$

Remark 49 *It can be seen that the partitioning of X_n (and hence X_k) on G-isomorphic classes is not sufficient for the automorphism of this partition. This shows the next partition L_n of a n-orbit X_n of the group $G = C_6$:*

$$\begin{array}{||cccccc||}
\hline
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 1 \\
\hline
3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 1 & 2 \\
4 & 5 & 6 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline
5 & 6 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
6 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{array}.$$

The classes of L_n are connected with permutation $(135)(246)$, but are not automorphic. Let $Y_n \in L_n$, then in this case $|GY_n|$ is a covering of X_n.

From lemmas 47 and 48 follows

Corollary 50 *Let $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $Y_k \subset X_k$, $\alpha_k \in Y_k$ and Y_k be a maximal subset with property: $Co(Y_k) = Co(\alpha_k)$, then Y_k is a k-suborbit of G.*

Consider a generalization of lemma 48.

Theorem 51 *Let $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $Y_k \subset X_k$ and $L_k = GY_k$ be a covering of X_k. If $|Y_k||L_k|$ divides $|G|$, then Y_k is a k-orbit of some subgroup $A < G$.*

Proof: On condition, there exists a partition L_n of a n-orbit $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$ so that $\hat{p}(I_k)L_n = L_k$ and $|L_n| = |L_k|$. Then there exists $Y_n \in L_n$ so that $\hat{p}(I_k)Y_n = Y_k$, $G\hat{p}(I_k)Y_n = \hat{p}(I_k)GY_n = GY_k$. Hence $L_n = GY_n$ is a partition of X_n and we can apply the lemma 16. □

Corollary 52 *Let $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $Y_k \subset X_k$ and $L_k = GY_k$ be a covering of X_k. Let classes of L_k can be assembled in isomorphic partitions of X_k. Let Q_k be a system of these partitions and $|Q_k||X_k|$ divides $|X_n|$. Let $L'_k \in Q_k$ and $Y_k \in L'_k$, then X_k is a k-orbit of a subgroup $B = Aut(L'_k) \cap G$, $L'_k = BY_k$ and Y_k is a k-orbit of some subgroup $A < B$.*

Proof: Let $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$ and $X_k = \hat{p}(I_k)X_n$. Since $|Q_k||X_k|$ divides $|X_n|$, there exists a partition L_n of X_n so that $\hat{p}(I_k)L_n = X_k$ and permutations of classes of L_n correspond with permutations of partitions from Q_k. So the classes of L_n are n-orbits of subgroups of G and hence X_k is a k-orbit of the subgroup $B = Aut(L'_k) \cap G$. Since $BY_k = L'_k$ is a partition of X_k and B acts on L'_k transitive, the subgroup $A = Aut(Y_k; V) \cap B$ acts on Y_k transitive. □

The example of such G-isomorphic system of partitions is

$$Q_1 = \{ \begin{array}{||c||}\hline 1 \\ 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}, \begin{array}{||c||}\hline 1 \\ 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}, \begin{array}{||c||}\hline 1 \\ 4 \\ \hline 2 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array} \},$$

where Q_1 is formed by 1-orbits of left cosets of the subgroup $gr((12)(34)) < A_4$.

Let Q_k be a set of S_n-isomorphic partitions that therefore do not belong to the same k-orbit X_k, then the action of G on X_k maintains simultaneously all partitions L^i_k as in the previous example, where now Q_1 is formed by 1-orbits of not conjugate subgroups $gr((12)(34))$, $gr((13)(24))$ and $gr((14)(23))$ of the group $S_2 \otimes S_2$.

Corollary 53 *Let X_k be a k-rorbit of a group G that contains a k-rcycle rC_k, then rC_k is a k-orbit of some subgroup $A < G$.*

Proof: It is a special case of the corollary 50. □

The order of the subgroup A in the corollary can differ from k. The example gives the subgroup $A = gr((1234)(56)) < S_6$ and $rC_2 = \{\langle 56\rangle, \langle 65\rangle\}$.

Projections of k-rcycles from X_k on l-subspace $(l < k)$ can have non-trivial intersections as in example:

$$\begin{array}{||cc|c||}\hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline\end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{||cc|c||}\hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline\end{array}.$$

So these projections form a covering of X_l.

2.2.4 The special left action of permutations on k-sets

There exists a left action of permutations on a k-orbit X_k of a group G that forms a partition R_k of X_k on k-orbits of right cosets of a subgroup $A < G$. It is a partition $R_k = AX_k$.

Classes of AX_k as well as classes of AX_n are in general case not isomorphic. Moreover, if the classes of AX_n have the same order, then the classes of AX_k satisfy to this property not always. For example $R_1 = gr((12))\{1, 2, 3\} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$.

k-Orbits of left cosets of a subgroup A can have intersections, k-Orbits of right cosets of a subgroup A have no intersection.

k-Orbits of left cosets of a subgroup A are k-orbits of subgroups that are conjugate to A, k-orbits of right cosets of a subgroup A are k-orbits of the subgroup A. These properties we shall assemble in the following statements:

Lemma 54 *Let A, B be conjugate subgroups of a group G and X_n be a n-orbit of G, then*

1. *The partitions of G on left (right) cosets of subgroups A, B are not equal.*
2. *The partitions of X_n on n-orbits of left cosets of subgroups A, B are equal and this partition consists of isomorphic classes.*
3. *The partitions of X_n on n-orbits of right cosets of subgroups A, B are not equal and each partition consists of not isomorphic classes of power $|A|$.*

Proof: The first statement is the fact from the group theory, the second is the repeating of lemma 11 and the third follows from lemma 10. □

Corollary 55 *Let A, B be conjugate subgroups of a group G and X_k be a k-orbit of G, then*

1. *The coverings of X_k on isomorphic k-orbits of left cosets of subgroups A, B are equal.*
2. *The partitions of X_k on k-orbits of right cosets of subgroups A, B are not equal, each partition consists of not isomorphic classes, which can differ by power.*

k-orbit property of normal subgroups.

Lemma 56 *Let $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $A < G$ and $R_k = AX_k = X_kA = L_k$. If A is the maximal subgroup, then $A \lhd G$.*

Proof: Let $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$, then $R_n = AX_n = X_nA = L_n$, because L_n is the only partition of X_n with property $\hat{p}(I_k)L_n = L_k$ and $|L_n| = |L_k|$, hence $A \lhd G$. □

Corollary 57 *Let Y_k be a maximal subset of a k-orbit X_k so that $Co(Y_k) = Co(\alpha_k)$ for some k-tuple $\alpha_k \in Y_k$, then a stabilizer $Stab(\alpha_k) \lhd Stab(Y_k)$.*

Lemma 58 *Let $A \lhd G$ and $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, then $R_k = AX_k = X_kA = L_k$.*

Proof: It is given that $R_n = AX_n = X_nA = L_n$, then $\hat{p}(I_k)AX_n = \hat{p}(I_k)X_nA$ or $AX_k = X_kA$. □

So we have

Theorem 59 *A group G is a simple group if and only if $AX_k \neq X_kA$ for arbitrary k, arbitrary $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$ and each subgroup $A < G$.*

Intersections and unions of k-orbits Above we have seen (proposition 18) that the intersection of n-orbits is a n-orbit. The same is correct

Lemma 60 *Let Y_k and Z_k be k-orbits, then $T_k = Y_k \cap Z_k$ is a k-orbit of $Aut(T_k) = Aut(Y_k) \cap Aut(Z_k)$.*

Proof: It is sufficient to consider the intersection of n-orbits of $Aut(Y_k)$ and $Aut(Z_k)$ and then their corresponding k-projections. □

Corollary 61 *Let Y_k and Z_k be k-suborbits of a k-orbit X_k, $A = Aut(Y_k) \cap Aut(X_k)$ and $B = Aut(Z_k) \cap Aut(X_k)$, then $(A \cap B)X_k = AX_k \sqcap BX_k$.*

For subgroups $G < Aut(Y_k)$ and $G' < Aut(Z_k)$ with k-orbits $Y_k \in Orb_k(G)$ and $Z_k \in Orb_k(G')$ the similar relation is not correct. Let us to give a counterexample:

The partition $R_1 = AX_1 = AV$ is a system of orbits of A on V in the conventional meaning. Let $A = gr((12)) < S_3$ and $B = gr((123)) < S_3$, then $AV = \{\{1,2\},\{3\}\}$, $BV = \{\{1,2,3\}\}$, $AV \sqcap BV = \{\{1,2\},\{3\}\}$ and $(A \cap B)V = \{\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$.

This example, lemma 60 and corollary 61 determine the relation between intersections of groups, their k-orbits and corresponding systems of k-orbits of right cosets of this groups (subgroups). The same is valid for the intersection of systems of k-orbits of left cosets.

The union of partitions of $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$ on k-orbits of left cosets of subgroups $A, B < G$ we have considered in lemma 46.

For the union of partitions of $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$ on k-orbits of right cosets of subgroups $A, B < G$ we have:

Lemma 62 *$AX_k \sqcup BX_k = gr(A, B)X_k$.*

The condition of automorphism of a subspace $I_k \subset V$.

Lemma 63 *Let X_n be a n-orbit of a transitive group G, $X_k = \hat{p}(I_k)X_n$, $|X_n|/|X_k| > 1$ and I_k contains all elements of V that are fixed with $Stab(I_k) < G$, then I_k is automorphic.*

Proof: Let $Co(I_k) = \{v_i : i \in [1,k]\}$, $T_k^1 \in Orb_k(Stab(v_1))$, $T_k^1 \subset X_k$, $\hat{p}(v_1)T_k^1 = v_1$ and $L'_k = GT_k^1$. Let $T_k^i \in Orb_k(Stab(v_i))$ be a class of L'_k, then $Stab(I_k)T_k^i = T_k^i$. It follows that all T_k^i consist of k-orbits of right cosets of $Stab(I_k)$ and systems of these k-orbits of right cosets of $Stab(I_k)$ for different i are isomorphic. Hence each T_k^i contains a fix k-tuple α_k^i for that $Co(\alpha_k^i) = Co(I_k)$. The union $\cup_{(i=1,k)}\alpha_k^i$ is a k-orbit of a normalizer $N_G(Stab(I_k))$ (corollary 57) that acts transitive on the subset $Co(I_k)$. Hence I_k is automorphic. □

2.2.5 The right action of permutations on k-sets

Right action isomorphism. Under right action of a permutation g on a k-tuple α_k we understand the mapping of α_k on a k-tuple $\beta_k \equiv \alpha_k g$ that is placed on the position of coordinates of α_k in the same n-tuple α_n under the right action of g on α_n. If $\alpha_k = \langle v_1 v_2 \dots v_k \rangle$, then on definition we write $\alpha_k g = \langle v_{g1} v_{g2} \dots v_{gk} \rangle$. Thus we consider the k-tuple $\alpha_k \subset \alpha_n$ with its certain position in α_n that we define by k-subspace of coordinates I_k.

Let $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$, $Y_n \subset X_n$, $Y_k = \hat{p}(I_k)Y_n$, $g \in S_n$ and $Y'_k = Y_k g = \hat{p}(gI_k)Y_n$, then we say that Y_k and Y'_k are right S_n-related. If $g \in G$, then Y_k and Y'_k are right G-related. In general case the image Y'_k is not isomorphic to its original Y_k. We shall study, when the right action of a permutation transforms k-subset Y_k on isomorphic k-subset Y'_k.

Two kinds of right action isomorphism.

Definition 64 *If we study k-orbits of a group G, $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $Y_k \subset X_k$, $a, b \in S_n$ and a k-subset $Y'_k = Y_k a = bY_k$, then we say that Y'_k and Y_k are right S_n-isomorphic (as in first case of example 7). If $a, b \in G$, then we say that Y'_k and Y_k are right G-isomorphic.*

Let $Y_k = \hat{p}(I_k)Y_n \subset X_k$, $X'_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $Y'_k = \hat{p}(I'_k)Y_n \subset X'_k$ and $Y'_k = Y_k a = bY_k$. If $a \in G$ then $X'_k = X_k$, and $Y'_k \subset X_k$ too. Now we shall find, when from $a \in G$ it follows $b \in G$.

Proposition 65 *Let Y_k and Y'_k be arbitrary S_n-isomorphic subsets of a k-orbit X_k, then Y_k and Y'_k are not with necessary $Aut(X_k)$-isomorphic.*

Proof: The 2-subsets $\{\langle 12 \rangle, \langle 23 \rangle\}$ and $\{\langle 12 \rangle, \langle 24 \rangle\}$ from the 2-orbit X'_2 (page 24) are S_n-isomorphic, but not $Aut(X'_2)$-isomorphic. □

Proposition 66 *Let Y_k and Y'_k be arbitrary right S_n-isomorphic k-subsets of a k-orbit X_k of a group G, then Y_k and Y'_k are G-isomorphic.*

Proof: Let $Y_k = \{I_k(i) : i \in [1, |Y_n|]\}$ and $Y'_k = \{I'_k(i) : i \in [1, |Y_n|]\}$, then $Y_k = \hat{p}(I_k(i))Y_n$ and $Y'_k = \hat{p}(I'_k(i))Y_n$. Maps $I_k(i) \to I'_k(i)$ are restrictions of automorphisms. Hence a map $\cup I_k(i) \to \cup I'_k(i)$ is also a restriction of an automorphism. □

General properties of right permutation action.

Proposition 67 *k-Orbits of left, right cosets of a subgroup A are connected with elements of G.*

Proof: From proposition 12 we obtain $Y'_k(I_k) = \hat{p}(I_k)Y'_n = \hat{p}(I_k)gY''_n f^{-1} = g\hat{p}(I_k)Y''_n f^{-1} = gY''_k(I_k)f^{-1} = gY''_k(f^{-1}I_k)$. □

Proposition 68 *The right action of any automorphism $g \in G$ on a k-orbit of a normal subgroup $H \lhd G$ is isomorphic.*

Proof: Accordingly to proposition 13 $\hat{p}(I_k)gY_n = \hat{p}(I_k)Y_n g$ or $gY_k = Y_k g$. □

Now we give a generalization of proposition 14.

Lemma 69 *Let $A < G$, $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$, $L_k = X_k A = GY_k$, $R_k = AX_k$ and $Q_k = L_k \cap R_k \neq \emptyset$. Let A be a maximal subgroup, then A has non-trivial normalizer $B = N_G(A)$.*

Proof: Let $Z_k = \cup Q_k$, then $Aut(Y_k; V) \cap Aut(Z_k; V) \lhd Aut(Z_k; V)$, where Z_k is not with necessary automorphic. Hence $A = Aut(Y_k; V) \cap Aut(Z_k; V) \cap G \lhd Aut(Z_k; V) \cap G = B$. □

Proposition 70 *Let A be a subgroup of G, $g, f \in G$, $gag^{-1} = a$ for every $a \in A$ and $faf^{-1} \neq a$ for some $a \in A$, but $fAf^{-1} = A$. Let Y_k be a k-orbit of A and $\overrightarrow{Y_k}$ be the arbitrary ordered set Y_k, then $g\overrightarrow{Y_k} = \overrightarrow{Y_k}g$ and $fY_k = Y_k f$, but $f\overrightarrow{Y_k} \neq \overrightarrow{Y_k}f$.*

Proof: It follows from proposition 15. □

Right permutation action on k-rcycles.

Lemma 71 *Let C_{lk} be a (l,k)-cycle, then $C_{lk} = \uplus C_{l_1p_1} \circ \uplus C_{l_2p_2} \circ \ldots \circ \uplus C_{l_qp_q}$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{q} p_i = k$, l_i divides $| \uplus C_{l_ip_i}| = l$, $C_{l_ip_i}$ is a p_i-projection of a l_i-rcycle and different l_i-rcycles have no intersection on V.*

Proof: From definition it follows that $C_{lk} = gr(g)\alpha_k$ for some permutation g and k-tuple α_k. Let n-tuple $\beta_n = \beta_{l_1} \circ \beta_{l_2} \circ \ldots \circ \beta_{l_q}$, $g = (\beta_{l_1})(\beta_{l_2})\ldots(\beta_{l_q})$ and $m = \sum_{i=1}^{q} l_i$, then g generates (l,m)-cycle $C_{lm} = \uplus rC_{l_1} \circ \uplus rC_{l_2} \circ \ldots \circ \uplus rC_{l_q}$. The (l,k)-cycle C_{lk} is a k-projection of the (l,m)-cycle C_{lm}. □

The (l,k)-cycle C_{lk} can be represented as a concatenation of (l,p_i)-multiorbits, whose p_i-projections are either p_i-tuple, or $S^{p_i}_{l_i}$-orbits, or p_i-projections of l_i-rcycles. It is obtained from lemma 71 by doing singled out the concatenation of fix 1-tuples and reassembling the cycles in $S^{p_i}_{l_i}$-orbits as in example:

$$\left\|\begin{array}{cc|c|c|cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 6 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 7 & 5 & 8 & 6 \end{array}\right\| = \left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 7 & 8 & 5 & 6 \end{array}\right\|.$$

The difference in representations of type

$$\left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc} 5 & 7 & 6 & 8 \\ 7 & 5 & 8 & 6 \end{array}\right\| \text{ and } \left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc} 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 7 & 8 & 5 & 6 \end{array}\right\|$$

can be important if rcycles $\{\langle 57\rangle, \langle 75\rangle\}$ and $\{\langle 68\rangle, \langle 86\rangle\}$ are not G-isomorphic.

There exist cases, where the partitioning of a (l,k)-cycle on right G-related concatenation components cannot be represented as projections of base three types p-orbits. The simplest of these cases gives the 3-orbit of subgroup $gr((12)) < S_3$. For this case we have the next right G-related 2-orbits of $gr((12))$:

$$\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array}\right\|, \left\|\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{array}\right\| \text{ and } \left\|\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{array}\right\|.$$

The existence of such decomposition of a (n,k)-cycle on condition $k|n$ leeds to some intricate structures as, for example, the automorphism group of Petersen graph (s. below).

Finite group permutation representation. Let us to consider some examples with different properties of right permutation action. The 2-orbit of subgroup $gr((12)) < S_3$ shows an existence of cases with no non-trivial isomorphic right permutation action for k-orbits of non-normal subgroup. The example 95 (s. below) shows the existence of the right G-isomorphism for 2-orbits $\{\langle 12\rangle, \langle 21\rangle\}$ and $\{\langle 34\rangle, \langle 43\rangle\}$ of normal subgroup $gr((12)(34))$ of group $S_2 \otimes S_2$ that follows from proposition 68. The next example is a n-orbit of a group G that is the regular permutation representation of S_3 in two assemblies.

Example 72

$$\left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 6 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 3 & 6 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 5 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ \hline 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}\right\| \quad \textit{and} \quad \left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & 3 & 6 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 1 & 6 & 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline 5 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|.$$

The first table is partitioned relative to G-isomorphic 2-subspaces and the second to S_n-isomorphic 2-subspaces. The example shows no existence of a right G-isomorphism for 2-rcycle $\{12, 21\}$, but an existence of right S_6-isomorphism for this 2-rcycle. This fact can be explained with next arguments: a subgroup defined by 2-rcycle $\{12, 21\}$ has the trivial normalizer and hence its 2-orbits of left cosets are not necessitated to be G-isomorphic to the 2-orbits of right cosets, on the one hand, but G is regular and hence necessitates the existence of the isomorphic right action, on the other hand.

Given example shows the difference in properties of the right permutation action in various permutation representations of a finite group. We consider this difference and recall at first some facts from the finite group theory.

Let F be a finite group, $A < F$, $|F|/|A| = n$ and $\overrightarrow{L_n}$, $\overrightarrow{R_n}$ be ordered partitions FA and AF. It is known that every transitive permutation representation of F is equivalent to the representation of F given by n-orbits $X'_n = \{f\overrightarrow{L_n} : f \in F\}$ or $X''_n = \{\overrightarrow{R_n}f : f \in F\}$. It is also known that F is homomorphic to its image $Aut(X'_n)$ $(Aut(X''_n))$ with the kernel of the homomorphism equal to a maximal normal subgroup of F that is contained in A. Further we always assume that a finite group is isomorphic to its representation.

A maximal by inclusion subgroup A of a finite group F that contains no normal subgroup of F we call a *md-stabilizer* of F and the corresponding representation of F we call a *md-representation*. A md-stabilizer A of a finite group F defines a minimal degree permutation representation of F in the family of permutation representations of F defined with subgroups of A. A maximal degree permutation representation of F is correspondingly the permutation representation defined with trivial minimal subgroup given by the unit of the group and this representation is called a regular representation of F.

A finite group can have many (not conjugate) md-stabilizers. For example, S_5 contains a transitive md-stabilizer of order 20 generated by permutations (12345) and (1243) and an intransitive md-stabilizer of order 12 generated by permutations (123)(45) and (23). The n-orbits of these md-stabilizers are correspondingly:

Example 73

$$\left\|\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 1 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\
4 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
5 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline
5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\
4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 5 \\
3 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 \\
2 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\
1 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline
1 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 4 \\
3 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\
5 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\
2 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
4 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline
4 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\
2 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 4 \\
5 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\
3 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 5 \\
1 & 4 & 2 & 5 & 3
\end{array}\right\| \quad and \quad \left\|\begin{array}{ccc|cc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 5 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline
1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 4 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 4 \\ \hline
1 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\
2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline
1 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 4 \\
2 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 4 \\
3 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 4
\end{array}\right\|.$$

The first md-stabilizer is a representation of group $C_5C_4 = C_4C_5$. The representation of S_5 with this md-stabilizer has degree 6 equal to maximal order of elements of S_5. The second md-stabilizer is a representation of group $C_6 \otimes C_2$. The representation of S_5 with second md-stabilizer (as we shall see) is the automorphism group of Petersen graph.

Because of the property given in proposition 82, the special interest is presented by md-stabilizer of a finite group with the maximal order, which we call a *least degree stabilizer* or a *ld-stabilizer* of a finite group. The corresponding representation of a finite group we call a transitive least degree representation or *tld-representation*. That property urges also to consider a least degree intransitive representation or a *ild-representation* of a finite group, that for some groups, for example for C_6, has the degree less than the degree of tld-representation. So under a lowest degree representation or a *ld-representation* we shall understand the smallest degree representation among tld- and ild-representations.

The given consideration puts a question: *is there existing a finite group with two non-similar ld-representations?*. If there exists no two non-similar ld-representations, then the ld-representation is a full invariant of a finite group and hence the study of a finite group number invariants could be reduced to the study of ld-representation number invariants.

For a non-minimal degree representations a simple example, of the same degree non-similar permutation representations, is representations of S_4 on sets of right cosets of subgroups $gr((12))$ and $gr((12)(34))$. The first representation contains a stabilizer of 2-tuple on a 12-element set V and the second contains a stabilizer of 4-tuple on V.

Let $\phi : F \rightarrow S_n(V)$. We shall denote the image $\phi(F)$ of group F by representation ϕ as $F(V)$ and term $F(V)$ also as representation of F. The n-orbit of $F(V)$ we term for convenience also as representation of F.

One possible reformulation of the polycirculant conjecture. Let F be a finite group and $A < F$ be a md-stabilizer. Let F contains a subgroup P of a prime order p that conjugates with no subgroup of A, then it follows that P is a regular subgroup of a representation $F(AF)$ and hence p divides $n = |AF|$.

So the polycirculant conjecture statements that if $F(AF)$ is a 2-closed representation of a finite group F, then F contains the corresponding subgroup P.

To all appearance this approach cannot be successful, because it lies out of the inside structure of a n-orbit.

Some properties of ld-representations.

Lemma 74 *Let A_m and B_l be ld-representations, then the ld-representation of a group $A_m \otimes B_l$ has degree $n = m + l$.*

The simplest example is

1	2	3	4
1	2	4	3
2	1	3	4
2	1	4	3

.

Theorem 75 *The ld-representation of a finite group F is an ild-representation if and only if F is a direct product.*

Proof: If F is a direct product, then the statement follows from lemma 74. So let $X_n = X_{m+l} = X_m \circ X_l$ be an ild-representation of F, where X_m and X_l are transitive, then $|X_n|/|X_m|$ and $|X_n|/|X_l|$ greater than 1. It follows that a stabilizer of m-tuple from X_m and a stabilizer of l-tuple from X_l are normal subgroups of F (corollary 57) and elementwise commutative. □

Lemma 76 *The ld-representation of a group F is regular if and only if F is not a direct product and has a trivial ld-stabilizer.*

Corollary 77 *The regular representation of cyclic p-group is ld-representation.*

Corollary 78 *Let C_n be ld-representation of cyclic group C of order $p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_q^{m_q}$, where p_i are prime, then C_n is intransitive and $n = p_1^{m_1} + \ldots + p_q^{m_q}$.*

Lemma 79 *Any finite group is a ld-stabilizer of some finite group.*

Proof: Let A be a finite group, then A is a ld-stabilizer of a group $F = gr(A \otimes B, d)$, where a group B is isomorphic to A, d is an involution and $dA \otimes B = A \otimes Bd$. $\Box$

The corresponding example gives the representation of dihedral group D_4:

1	2	3	4
1	2	4	3
2	1	3	4
2	1	4	3
3	4	1	2
3	4	2	1
4	3	1	2
4	3	2	1

.

The following several sentences do the object, that we study, more visible.

Proposition 80 *Let F be a finite group and $F(F)$, $F(V)$ be two its images. Let $\alpha_k(V), \beta_k(V)$ be k-tuples from $V^{(k)}$ and l-tuples $\alpha_l(F), \beta_l(F)$ be their images from $F^{(l)}$, then $\alpha_k(V)$ and $\beta_k(V)$ have no intersection on V if and only if $\alpha_l(F)$ and $\beta_l(F)$ have no intersection on F.*

Proof: Let X_n be a n-orbit of $F(V)$ and Y_m ($m = |F|$) be a m-orbit of $F(F)$. The k-tuples $\alpha_k(V)$ and $\beta_k(V)$ are situated in X_n and l-tuples $\alpha_l(F)$ and $\beta_l(F)$ are situated in Y_m. The statement follows from the method of reconstruction of Y_m to X_n that is a substitution of certain not intersected on F (m/n)-tuples of Y_m on certain not equal elements of V. $\Box$

From this proposition follows directly

Corollary 81 *Let F be a finite group, $A < F$ be a md-stabilizer, $B < A$, $V = FB$ and $G = F(V)$. Let $|G|/|A| = l$, $|A|/|B| = k$, $kl = n$, $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$, $Y_n \subset X_n$ be a n-orbit of the subgroup $A(V) < G$ and $L_n = GY_n = X_n A(V)$. Let $I_k = AB \subset V$, $X_k = \hat{p}(I_k)X_n$ and $Y_k = \hat{p}(I_k)Y_n$.*

1. *Let $L_k = X_k A = GY_k = \hat{p}(I_k)L_n$, then classes of L_k have no intersection on V and hence L_k is a partition of X_k.*
2. *Let $Y'_n \in L_n$, $Y'_k = \hat{p}(I_k)Y'_n$ and $Y'_{n-k} = \hat{p}(I_{n-k})Y'_n$, then Y'_k and Y'_{n-k} have no intersection on V.*

Proposition 82 *Let F be a finite group and p be a prime divisor of $|F|$. Let $\{n_1, n_2, \ldots\}$ be degrees of transitive components of the ld-representation of F, then $p \leq max(n_i)$.*

Proof: The group F contains a subgroup of order p. Any permutation $g \in S_n$ of prime order p is decomposed in cycles of length either p or 1. $\Box$

From the definition of a minimal degree permutation representation follows

Proposition 83 *Let a minimal degree permutation representation contains a regular element, then a subordinate non-minimal degree permutation representation contains a regular element too.*

n-Orbits containing S_n-isomorphic k-orbits.

Proposition 84 *Let $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$, $A < G$, $Y_n \in Orb_n(A)$ and I_k, J_k be k-subspaces. Let $\hat{p}(I_k)Y_n$ be S_n-isomorphic to $\hat{p}(J_k)Y_n$, then $\hat{p}(I_k)X_n$ is not with necessary S_n-isomorphic to $\hat{p}(J_k)X_n$.*

Proof: The corresponding intransitive example is simply to construct:

$$\left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 6 & 5 \end{array}\right\|.$$

Here 2-suborbits $\{\langle 12\rangle, \langle 21\rangle\}$ and $\{\langle 56\rangle, \langle 65\rangle\}$ are S_n-isomorphic, but corresponding 2-orbits have different power. The transitive example is not evident and is presented in n-orbit of the automorphism group of Petersen graph (s. below). □

Theorem 85 *Non-minimal degree permutation representation of a finite group F contains S_n-isomorphic k-orbits.*

Proof: Let $B < A < F$, $|FB| = n$, $|FA| = m$ and $|AB| = k$. Let $F(FA)$ be minimal and $F(FB)$ be non-minimal degree permutation representations of a finite group F. Let X_n be a n-orbit of $F(FB)$ and X'_m be a m-orbit of $F(FA)$. Let $Y_n \subset X_n$ be a n-orbit of $A(FB)$ and $Y'_m \subset X'_m$ be a m-orbit of $A(FA)$. Let $Z_n \subset Y_n$ be a n-orbit of $B(FB)$ and $Z'_m \subset Y'_m$ be a m-orbit of $B(FA)$. Let $P < B$ be a subgroup of a prime order p. Let $T_n \subset Z_n$ be a n-orbit of $P(FB)$ and $T'_m \subset Z'_m$ be a m-orbit of $P(FA)$.

Let Y_k, $Z_k \subset Y_k$ and $T_k \subset Z_k$ be k-orbits of $A(AB)$, $B(AB)$ and $P(AB)$ correspondingly and let $T_{n-k} \circ T_k = T_n$.

The m-orbit T'_m, the n-orbit T_n and the k-orbit T_k, can be represent as a concatenation of p-rcycles and multituples.

A p-rcycle from T'_m generates k p-rcycles in T_{n-k} that are associated with cyclic permutation of k right cosets of A. But a multituple from T'_m generates p-rcycles and multituple in T_k. The latter p-rcycles are existing because $P < B < A$ and hence the action of P on T_k permutes right cosets of $B < A$.

A p-rcycle from T_n, that is generated by a p-rcycle from T'_m, is evidently not $F(FB)$-isomorphic to a p-rcycle from T_n, that is generated by a multituple from T'_m. □

This situation is demonstrated on example 72.

This property can be also emerged in a md-representation of a finite group F. An example gives the group $F = C_6 \otimes C_2$ in the next representation:

Example 86

$$\left\|\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc} 1 & 6 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 4 \\ 6 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 5 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 6 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 3 & 6 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 6 & 1 \\ \hline 5 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 6 & 5 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{array}\right\|.$$

It is seen that the 2-rcycle $\{\langle 25\rangle, \langle 52\rangle\}$ does not belong to 2-orbit of G, containing the 2-rcycle $\{\langle 16\rangle, \langle 61\rangle\}$. This matrix is a md-representation of a finite group F, but not ld-representation, and it contains a submatrix that is a non-minimal degree representation of subgroup $S_3 < F$.

In this example the p-subgroup does not belong to a stabilizer, but, using the construction given in lemma 79, we obtain the property for a p-subgroup (of order p) of a stabilizer of F.

The considered example suggests us the next property of n-orbits.

Proposition 87 *Let $I = \{I_k^i : i \in [1, l]\}$ be a partition of V on G-isomorphic k-subspaces and $W = \{Co(I_k) : I_k \in I\}$.*

Let Z_n be a maximal subset of $X_n \in Orb_n(G)$ so that $Co(\hat{p}(I_k^i)Z_n) = W$ for each $i \in [1, l]$.

Let $A < Aut(Z_n)$, $Y_n \subset Z_n$ be a n-orbit of A, $Y_k^1 = \hat{p}(I_k^1)Y_n$ be the representation $A(I_k^1)$ and $Y_k^2 = \hat{p}(I_k^2)Y_n$ be $S_{|A|}^k$-orbit, then Z_n is a non-minimal degree representation.

Proof: Z_n is automorphic, because GZ_n is a partition of X_n. From proposition 68 follows that A contains no normal subgroup of $Aut(Z_n)$. Hence Z_n, that is defined on V, is isomorphic to a l-orbit Z_l', that is defined on W and obtained by the evident reduction of Z_n. □

The next example of a minimal degree representation of the group $S_5 \otimes S_2$ contains S_n-isomorphic p-orbits in the case $(p, n) = 1$.

Example 88

$$\begin{array}{||c|cc|cc||}
1 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\
\hline
2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 5 \\
2 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 4 \\
\hline
3 & 4 & 2 & 5 & 1 \\
3 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline
4 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
4 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
5 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\
5 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 2
\end{array}.$$

This example shows an existence of n-orbit of a subgroup of order p, that contains S_n-isomorphic p-rcycles, but no S_p^p-orbit G-isomorphic to a p-rcycle. Here: 2-rcycles $\{\langle 25\rangle, \langle 52\rangle\}$ and $\{\langle 34\rangle, \langle 43\rangle\}$ are S_n-isomorphic, S_p^p-orbits $\langle\langle 23\rangle\langle 54\rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle 54\rangle\langle 23\rangle\rangle$ are equal and hence G-isomorphic and 2-rcycle $\{\langle 25\rangle, \langle 52\rangle\}$ is G-isomorphic to a 2-orbit $\{\langle 13\rangle, \langle 14\rangle\}$. We shall see that properties of this 5-orbit give an appearance to unconventional properties of the 10-orbit of the Petersen graph automorphism group.

Theorem 89 *Let a prime p divides $|G|$ and does not divide n, then G is a md-representation.*

Proof: Let (α_p) be a cycle, $X_p = G\alpha_p$, $L_p = G(\alpha_p)\alpha_p$ and $L_1 = \hat{p}(I_1)L_p$, then $L_1 = Co(L_p)$ is a covering of V on G-isomorphic p-subsets. We consider two possible cases.

1. Let $\sqcup L_1 = V$, then G is a primitive group and hence there exists no partition Q of V on G-isomorphic subsets for that $G(Q)$ would be a representation of $G(V)$. Indeed, if $g \in G$ is a permutation of order p, then $gQ \sqcap Q \neq Q$ for any partition Q. It contradicts proposition 80.

2. Let now $\sqcup L_1 = Q$, where Q is a partition of V, then Q consists of G-isomorphic classes. But in this case, because of transitivity G and $(n, p) = 1$, there exists a n-orbit of a p-subgroup whose projections on subspaces from Q are G-isomorphic and hence X_n does not contain S_n-isomorphic $(n/|Q|)$-orbits. Thus, accordingly to theorem 85, X_n is a minimal degree permutation representation. □

An example of the second case representation of a finite group F can be obtained from lemma 79, if to assign $A = A_4$ and $p = 3$. The consideration of this example for $A = S_3$ and $p = 2$ shows that the condition, p does not divide n, is not of principal for the second case of theorem 89. We shall see that namely this situation takes place in the 10-orbit of the Petersen graph automorphism group.

Conditions of k-closure and properties of k-closed groups.

Proposition 90 *Let $Y_k \in Orb_k(Aut(X_k))$, then it is not follows that $Aut(Y_k) = Aut(X_k)$.*

Proof: An example: $X_2 = \{\langle 12\rangle, \langle 23\rangle, \langle 34\rangle, \langle 41\rangle\}$, $Y_2 = \{\langle 13\rangle, \langle 31\rangle, \langle 24\rangle, \langle 42\rangle\}$. □

Proposition 91 *Let k-orbits Y_k and X_k be isomorphic and $Aut(X_k) = Aut(Y_k)$, then it is not follows that $Y_k = X_k$.*

Proof: An example: $X_2 = \{\langle 13\rangle, \langle 24\rangle\}$, $Y_2 = \{\langle 14\rangle, \langle 23\rangle\}$. □

Proposition 92 *Let X_k and Y_k be isomorphic k-rorbits with the same automorphism group, then it is not follows that $X_k = Y_k$.*

Proof: The 2-orbits $X_2 = \hat{p}(\langle 23\rangle)X_5$ and $Y_2 = \hat{p}(\langle 45\rangle)X_5$ from example 88 represent such case. □

Lemma 93 *Let X_n be a n-orbit of a k-closed group G, $A < G$ and $Y_n \subset X_n$ be a n-orbit of A. Let $Y_k(I_k) = \hat{p}(I_k)Y_n$, $B = \cap_{(I_k \subset I_n)} Aut(Y_k(I_k))$, $P_n = G\,(\cup BY_n)$ and classes of P_n have no intersections, then A is k-closed.*

Proof: Let $X_k(I_k) = \hat{p}(I_k)X_n$. It is given that $G = \cap_{(I_k \subset I_n)} Aut(X_k(I_k))$. Further we have: $Aut(Y_n) < B$, $Y_n \in BY_n$, $Y_n \subset \cup BY_n$ and $X_n = \cup GY_n \subseteq \cup G \cup BY_n = \cup P_n$. Let Y_n be not k-closed, then every class of GY_n is not k-closed. As classes of P_n have no intersections, then $X_n \subset \cup P_n$ and hence X_n is not k-closed. Contradiction. □

Theorem 94 *Let a transitive n-orbit X_n contains S_n-isomorphic k-projections ($k \geq 2$), then X_n is 2-closed.*

Proof: We have two possibilities:

1. There exists a subspace $I_4 = \langle 1234\rangle$ so that 4-orbit $X(1234) = \hat{p}(\langle 1234\rangle)X_n$ is not 2-closed and 2-orbits $X(12) = \hat{p}(\langle 12\rangle)X_n$ and $X(34) = \hat{p}(\langle 34\rangle)X_n$ are S_n-isomorphic. Then 3-orbits $X(123) = \hat{p}(\langle 123\rangle)X(1234)$ and $X(234) = \hat{p}(\langle 234\rangle)X(1234)$ are also not 2-closed.

   Let $A(ijk\ldots) = Aut(X(ij)) \cap Aut(X(ik)) \cap Aut(X(jk)) \cap \ldots$, then $\cup Aut(123)X(1234)$ and $\cup Aut(234)X(1234)$ have to be 2-closed and equal, i.e. $Aut(123) = Aut(234) = Aut(1234)$. But such equality (for transitive 4-orbit $X(1234)$) is impossible, because $Aut(123)$ and $Aut(234)$ are conjugate subgroups of S_n and hence are not equal.

2. There exists a subspace $I_6 = \langle 123456\rangle$ so that 6-orbit $X(123456) = \hat{p}(\langle 123456\rangle)X_n$ is not 2-closed, 3-orbits $X(123) = \hat{p}(\langle 123\rangle)X_n$ and $X(456) = \hat{p}(\langle 456\rangle)X_n$ are S_n-isomorphic and not 2-closed. Then $\cup Aut(123)X(123456)$ and $\cup Aut(456)X(123456)$ have to be 2-closed and equal or $Aut(123) = Aut(456) = Aut(123456)$. This equality is also impossible for the same reason. □

Let X_n, Y_m and Z_l be three representations of a finite group F. Let $n > m > l$. It is of interest the relation between a k-closure property of Z_l, Y_m and X_n.

It is evident that, if Y_m is not 1-closed, then X_n is not 1-closed too and Z_l can be 1-closed. And, if Y_m is k-closed for $k > 1$, then X_n is k-closed too and Z_l can be not k-closed.

Unconventional cyclic structure on k-orbits. Now we shall consider one interesting property of the right permutation action on k-orbits that has no analogy in the group theory. The right automorphism action on a n-orbit X_n of a group G maps a k-subspace I_k on an isomorphic k-subspace I'_k and so $X_k = \hat{p}(I_k)X_n = \hat{p}(I'_k)X_n = X'_k$. The latter equality generates an unconventional cyclic structure on a k-orbit X_k, that one can see on next examples:

Example 95

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{array}\right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c|c} B & B' \\ \hline B' & B \end{array}\right) \text{ and } \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{array}\right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{array}\right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c|c} B_1 & B_2 \\ \hline B_2 & B_3 \\ \hline B_3 & B_1 \end{array}\right).$$

We have introduced the right permutation action on n-orbits as a permutation of coordinates of n-tuples. Of course, we can consider this action as the permutation n-tuples with the same result. Such interpretation of the right permutation action leads to next

Lemma 96 *Let X_k be a k-orbit of a group G, $A < G$, $R_k = AX_k$ be a partition of X_k on k-orbits of right cosets of A in G and Y_n be n-orbit of A. Then for any k-orbit $Y_k \in R_k$ there exists a subspace I_k so that $Y_k = \hat{p}(I_k)Y_n$.*

Proof: It follows from $A\alpha_n = Y_n$ for $\alpha_n \in Y_n$ and $A\alpha_k \in R_k$ for $\alpha_k \in X_k$. □

Theorem 97 *Let X_n be a n-orbit of a group G, I_k, I'_k be isomorphic subspaces, and $X_{2k} = \hat{p}(I_k \circ I'_k)X_n = \uplus X_k \circ \uplus X_k$. Let $A < G$, $R_{2k} = AX_{2k}$ and $R_k = AX_k$, then R_{2k} can be partition in cycles on classes of R_k.*

Proof: It follows from lemmas 96 and 36. □

Namely this property we can see on examples.

Remark 98 *Let I_k^1, I_k^2 and I_k^3 be isomorphic subspaces of a n-orbit X_n, then $\hat{p}(I_k^1)X_n = \hat{p}(I_k^2)X_n = \hat{p}(I_k^3)X_n$. But on the condition it does not follow that $\hat{p}(I_k^1 \circ I_k^2)X_n = \hat{p}(I_k^2 \circ I_k^3)X_n$.*

Hence the corresponding cycle structure on lk-orbits of right cosets of a subgroup does not exist with necessary for $l > 2$. This fact represents the difference between 2-closed groups and m-closed groups for $m > 2$.

Proposition 99 *Let $X_{2k} \in Orb_{2k}(G)$, $A < G$, $R_{2k} = AX_{2k}$, Y_k^1 and Y_k^2 be isomorphic k-orbits of a subgroup A, $Y_{2k} = Y_k^1 \circ Y_k^2 \in R_{2k}$ and $C_{2k} \subset R_{2k}$ be a cycle containing Y_{2k}. Let the set $Z_{2k} = \cup C_{2k}$ is a $(2k)$-orbit of some subgroup $B < G$, then A is a normal subgroup of B.*

Proof: Since Y_k^1 and Y_k^2 are isomorphic, k-orbits Y_k^i, that form the cycle C_{2k}, are k-orbits of left and right cosets of A in B. Then statement follows from lemma 69. □

3 Correspondence between k-orbits and their automorphism groups

Lemma 100 *Every cycle $c \subset\in G$ of length $l \geq k$ corresponds to a (l,k)-cycle C_{lk} of some k-orbit $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$.*

Proof: If $c = (\alpha_l)$, then l-orbit $X_l = G\alpha_l$ contains l-rcycle $rC_l = gr(c)\alpha_l$. The X_k and C_{lk} are corresponding k-projections of X_l and rC_l. □

For $k > l$ the counterexample is given by the cycle (56) in fourth case of example 7, where there exists no $(2,3)$-cycle for subautomorphism (56).

The reverse statement for k-orbits of not k-closed groups is not correct. An example is 2-orbit of A_4 that contains $(4,2)$-cycle related to no subautomorphism of A_4.

For k-closed groups the reverse statement is also not correct. This shows an example of 2-closed group that is defined by 2-orbit $X_2 = \{14, 25, 36, 41, 52, 63\}$. The group $Aut(X_2)$ has a 2-orbit $X_2' = \{12, 13, 15, 16, 21, 24, 23, 26, 32, 35, 31, 34, 42, 45, 43, 46, 51, 54, 53, 56, 62, 65, 61, 64\}$. The automorphism group of 2-suborbit $\{12, 13, 15, 16\} \subset X_2'$ contains a cycle (2536) that does not belong to $Aut(X_2')$. We can see that the possibility for construction of this counterexample gives a concatenation of S_4^1-orbit with $(4,1)$-multituple. But X_2' contains a suborbit $\{12, 24, 43, 31\}$ that is a projection of a 4-rcycle and also is not a 2-orbit of a subgroup of $Aut(X_2')$. In latter case the length l of a cycle (1243) is not prime first and does not divide degree n second. For a prime l that does not divide $|Aut(X_2')| = 48$ we have the next counterexample: an automorphic 2-subset $\{13, 32, 24, 45, 51\} \subset X_2'$.

3.1 The local property of k-orbits

The trivial case of reverse statement we obtain from corollary 50. For disclosing of a non-trivial local property of an automorphism group k-orbits we have to consider the case, where (p,k)-subset of a k-orbit X_k is a k-projection of p-rcycle for p being a prime divisor of $|Aut(X_k)|$. We shall write further a k-projection of l-rcycle for $k \leq l$ as (l,k)*-rcycle* rC_{lk}.

Theorem 101 *Let X_k be automorphic, $p \geq k$ be a prime divisor of $|Aut(X_k)|$ and $rC_{pk} \subset X_k$ be a (p,k)-rcycle, then $Aut(rC_{pk}) << Aut(X_k)$.*

Proof: Let $L_k = Aut(X_k)rC_{pk}$ and $|L_k|p$ divides $|Aut(X_k)|$, then the statement follows from theorem 51. Let $|L_k|p > |Aut(X_k)|$, then $|L_k| = |Aut(X_k)|$ and hence L_k can be partition on subsets L_k^i, $i \in [1,p]$ so that $|L_k^i|p = |Aut(X_k)|$. Since subsets L_k^i have no intersections, there exist subgroups $A_i < Aut(X_k)$ so that $A_i L_k^i = L_k^i$. It follows that $|L_k|p$ divides $|Aut(X_k)|$ and hence $|L_k|p = |Aut(X_k)|$. □

The theorem 101 and lemma 46 give a possibility for the reconstruction of subautomorphisms of k-orbit through its symmetry properties.

The next statement gives the relation between automorphism group of a k-orbit and automorphism group of its k-suborbit.

Proposition 102 *Let X_k be a k-orbit and $Y_k \subset X_k$ be a k-orbit of a subgroup $A = Aut(Y_k; V) \cap Aut(X_k)$, then $Aut(Y_k) << A < Aut(X_k)$ if and only if $Aut(Y_k) << \cap_{(Z_k \in AX_k)} Aut(Z_k; V)$.*

Proof: The statement follows from evident equality $A = \cap_{(Z_k \in AX_k)} Aut(Z_k; V)$. □

4 Primitivity and imprimitivity.

Below a group G is transitive.

Let X_k be a k-rorbit, $Y_k \subset X_k$ be a k-subrorbit, $\alpha_k \in Y_k$ and $Co(Y_k) = Co(\alpha_k)$, then $L_k = GY_k$ is a partition of X_k (lemma 47), but classes of L_k can be intersected on V. We shall call a k-rorbit X_k for $k < n$ V*-coherent*, if $\sqcup Co(X_k) = V$ and V*-incoherent* if $\sqcup Co(X_k)$ is a partition of V. We shall write simply coherent and incoherent, instead of V-coherent and V-incoherent, if it will be clear, what a set we consider.

Proposition 103 *The automorphism group of an incoherent k-rorbit is imprimitive.*

Corollary 104 *A group G is imprimitive if and only if it contains an incoherent k-rorbit.*

Corollary 105 *Non-minimal degree representations are imprimitive.*

The automorphism group of a coherent k-rorbit can be imprimitive. The example is the 2-rorbit $\{13, 31, 24, 42, 14, 41, 23, 32\}$.

Let a coherent (incoherent) k-rorbit X_k contains no V-coherent and no V-incoherent k-subrorbit, then X_k can be called an *elementary coherent* (*elementary incoherent*) k-rorbit.

Lemma 106 *The automorphism group of an elementary coherent k-rorbit is primitive.*

Corollary 107 *The group G is primitive if and only if it contains an elementary V-coherent k-subrorbit.*

A maximal k-subrorbit Y_k of a k-rorbit X_k, that is a structure element of coherent (incoherent) k-subrorbits, we call a *k-block*.

Let Y_k be a k-block of an incoherent k-rorbit X_k, then $U = Co(Y_k)$ is a 1-block or k-element block of an imprimitive group G in conventional definition.

Let us to give some examples of coherent and incoherent k-rorbits:

1. A 2-orbit X_2 of S_3 is elementary coherent and a 2-rcycle from X_2 is a 2-block.
2. 2-orbit of $C_5 \otimes C_2$ is elementary coherent. This group contains S_5-isomorphic elementary coherent 2-rorbits.
3. A 2-orbit of A_5 is coherent but not elementary coherent. It contains an elementary coherent 2-orbit of $C_5 \otimes C_2$. A 3-orbit of A_5 is elementary coherent and contains elementary coherent suborbits on 4-element subsets of V.
4. All 2-orbits of $C_2 \otimes C_2$ and two from six 2-orbits of D_4 are elementary incoherent. Other four 2-orbits of D_4 are coherent.

Proposition 108 *Let p be prime and X_p be an elementary incoherent p-rorbit, then there exists a subgroup $A < Aut(X_p)$ of order p for that classes of a partition $R_p = AX_p$ are p-orbits of the base type, i.e. they are either p-rcycles, or S_p^p-orbits or p-tuples.*

Proof: An elementary incoherent p-rorbit consists of not intersected on V p-rcycles. $\square$

The reverse statement:

Lemma 109 *Let p be prime, X_p be a p-rorbit and $rC_p \subset X_p$ be a p-rcycle that is a p-orbit of a subgroup $A < Aut(X_p)$ of order p. Let classes of $R_p = AX_p$ be p-orbits of the base type, then $Aut(X_p)$ is imprimitive.*

Proof: Let the statement is not correct and $Aut(X_p)$ be primitive, then $L_p = Aut(X_p)rC_p$ contains intersected on V classes and hence there exists a class of R_p that has a $(p, m < p)$-multituple as a concatenation component. Contradiction. $\square$

In the next example: $L_1 = \{\{124\}, \{235\}, \{346\}, \{451\}, \{562\}, \{613\}\}$, we see that $\sqcup L_1 = V = [1, 6]$, where $k = 3$ divides $n = 6$, but the corresponding 3-set $X_3 = \{124, 241, 421, \ldots\}$ is not automorphic.

Theorem 110 *Let X_k be an elementary coherent k-rorbit, then $\neg(k|n)$.*

Proof: The statement is correct for n being a prime, because of $k < n$, so we assume that n is not prime. Let the statement is not correct for some k, then it is not correct also for a prime divisor p of k. So we assume that $k = p$ is a prime. Let $Y_p \subset X_p$ be a p-rcycle that is a p-orbit of a subgroup $A < Aut(X_p)$ of order p, $R_p = AX_p$ and $L_p = Aut(X_p)Y_p$. Since by hypothesis classes of L_p are intersected on V, then R_p contains classes that have a $(p, l < p)$-multituple as a concatenation component. Let $X_n \in Orb_n(Aut(X_p))$ and $Y_n \subset X_n$ be a n-orbit of A, then Y_n is a concatenation of (not with necessary $Aut(X_p)$-isomorphic) p-rcycles and a (p, pr)-multituple. Let I_{pr} be a subspace defining (p, pr)-multituple, then, accordingly to lemma 63, the pr-orbit $X_{pr} = \hat{p}(I_{pr})X_n$ is a pr-rorbit and hence $l|pr$. Another, the subspace I_{pr} is a concatenation of $m = pr/l$ $Aut(X_p)$-isomorphic subspaces I_l^i $(i \in [1, m])$. It follows that R_p contains m $Aut(X_p)$-isomorphic classes and that $Aut(X_p)$ contains a subgroup B that acts transitive on the system of these m classes. It follows that R_p and hence X_p can be partition on m $Aut(X_p)$-isomorphic classes. Let $L'_p = L_p \sqcup R_p$ be this partition of X_p on m $Aut(X_p)$-isomorphic classes, then classes of L'_p are p-orbits of a normal subgroup of $Aut(X_p)$ and cannot be intersected on V. Hence X_p is not elementary coherent p-rorbit. Contradiction. □

5 Petersen graph

Here we consider the properties of n-orbits that are not visible from the group theory and therefore had hindered to solve the polycirculant conjecture. It is the cases, where $p|n$, but there exists no transitive and imprimitive subgroup of order p and therefore there exists no subgroup of order p, whose n-orbits could be represented as a concatenation of (p, p)-orbits of base type.

The simplest example is a $(n = 6)$-orbit of a group $G = S_3 \otimes C_2$.

Example 111

1	2	3	4	5	6
2	1	3	5	4	6
1	3	2	4	5	6
3	1	2	5	4	6
2	3	1	5	6	4
3	2	1	6	5	4
4	5	6	1	2	3
5	4	6	2	1	3
4	5	6	1	3	2
5	4	6	3	1	2
5	6	4	2	3	1
6	5	4	3	2	1

.

It is seen that the pair $\langle 12 \rangle$ is G-isomorphic to $\langle 45 \rangle$, but not G-isomorphic to $\langle 36 \rangle$, so 6-orbit of a subgroup $gr((12)(45))$ cannot be represented as a concatenation of p-orbits of base type. We shall say that this subgroup of a prime order and its n-orbit are *undecomposable.* Nevertheless the given group contains a *decomposable* (on the (p, p)-orbits of the base type) subgroup $gr((14)(25)(36))$, where $\{14\}, \{25\}, \{36\}$ are incoherent 2-blocks of a corresponding imprimitive subgroup of G.

The Petersen graph gives an example, where for the least prime divisor $p = 2$ of the degree $n = 10$ there exists no decomposable subgroup with incoherent 2-blocks. From here follows unconventional properties of the automorphism group of this graph. The automorphism group of Petersen graph is a representation G of S_5 on 10-element set V. It is a representation of S_5 with right (left) cosets of a subgroup of order 12 represented in example 73. This representation can be also obtained by action of S_5 on unordered pairs from the set $V' = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, where $V = \{1 = \{1, 2\}, 2 = \{1, 3\}, \ldots, 0 = \{4, 5\}\}$.

The following matrix is a 10-orbit Y_{10} of a transitive, imprimitive subgroup of G (that is isomorphic to a first subgroup from example 73).

Example 112

12	23	34	45	15	14	24	25	35	13	
1	5	8	0	4	3	6	7	9	2	e
5	8	0	4	1	7	9	2	3	6	(12345)
8	0	4	1	5	2	3	6	7	9	(13524)
0	4	1	5	8	6	7	9	2	3	(14253)
4	1	5	8	0	9	2	3	6	7	(15432)
3	6	7	9	2	4	0	8	5	1	(2453)
6	7	9	2	3	8	5	1	4	0	(1435)
7	9	2	3	6	1	4	0	8	5	(1254)
9	2	3	6	7	0	8	5	1	4	(1523)
2	3	6	7	9	5	1	4	0	8	(1342)
4	0	8	5	1	2	9	7	6	3	(25)(34)
0	8	5	1	4	7	6	3	2	9	(15)(24)
8	5	1	4	0	3	2	9	7	6	(23)(14)
5	1	4	0	8	9	7	6	3	2	(45)(13)
1	4	0	8	5	6	3	2	9	7	(12)(35)
2	9	7	6	3	1	5	8	0	4	(2354)
9	7	6	3	2	8	0	4	1	5	(1325)
7	6	3	2	9	4	1	5	8	0	(1534)
6	3	2	9	7	5	8	0	4	1	(1243)
3	2	9	7	6	0	4	1	5	8	(1452)

and the reassembling of this example:

Example 113

12	15	14	13	23	35	34	45	24	25	
1	4	3	2	5	9	8	0	6	7	e
4	1	2	3	0	6	8	5	9	7	(25)(34)
5	1	7	6	8	3	0	4	9	2	(12345)
1	5	6	7	4	9	0	8	3	2	(12)(35)
8	5	2	9	0	7	4	1	3	6	(13524)
5	8	9	2	1	3	4	0	7	6	(45)(13)
0	8	6	3	4	2	1	5	7	9	(14253)
8	0	3	6	5	7	1	4	2	9	(23)(14)
4	0	9	7	1	6	5	8	2	3	(15432)
0	4	7	9	8	2	5	1	6	3	(15)(24)
3	2	4	1	6	5	7	9	0	8	(2453)
2	3	1	4	9	0	7	6	5	8	(2354)
6	3	8	0	7	4	9	2	5	1	(1435)
3	6	0	8	2	5	9	7	4	1	(1452)
7	6	1	5	9	8	2	3	4	0	(1254)
6	7	5	1	3	4	2	9	8	0	(1243)
9	7	0	4	2	1	3	6	8	5	(1523)
7	9	4	0	6	8	3	2	1	5	(1534)
2	9	5	8	3	0	6	7	1	4	(1342)
9	2	8	5	7	1	6	3	0	4	(1325)

It can be seen that there exists no partition of 2-projection $\hat{p}(\langle 14\rangle)Y_{10}$ on not intersected on V classes, but this covering of V with 2-tuples can be partition in two not intersected coverings: $\{14, 15, 58, 40, 08\}$ and $\{23, 36, 29, 79, 67\}$ that form elementary coherent 2-orbits on corresponding two 5-element subsets of V. This case is similar to we could see on example 88.

The following example of a $(2, 10)$-orbit

24	35	12	14	13	15	23	25	45	34
6	9	1	3	2	4	5	7	0	8
9	6	3	1	4	2	7	5	8	0

contains S_{10} isomorphic 2-rcycles $\{\langle 69\rangle, \langle 96\rangle\}$ and $\{\langle 13\rangle, \langle 31\rangle\}$, but the corresponding two projections of X_{10} are not S_{10} isomorphic, because the 2-orbit $X_2(\langle 69\rangle)$ consists of 30 pairs and 2-orbit $X_2(\langle 13\rangle)$ consists of 60 pairs. It is a transitive realization of the property of example from proposition 84.

Remark 114 *One can see that given properties of X_n cannot be obtained in the group theory, because they are properties of the internal structure of X_n. Of course, the internal structure of X_n characterizes the group and therefore its properties are also group properties. But these properties of a group lie out the group algebra that characterizes X_n as whole.*

6 The proof of the polycirculant conjecture

6.1 The proof of lemma 5

The proof of lemma 5 follows from

Lemma 115 *Let q be the greatest prime divisor of n, then G contains a transitive, imprimitive subgroup with incoherent q-blocks.*

Proof: Let the statement is not correct, then every q-rorbit $X_q(I_q)$ contains a coherent q-subrorbit $Y_q(I_q)$ on some automorphic k-subspace I_k, where k is a divisor of n greater than q, $I_q \subset I_k$ and $X_k(I_k)$ is an incoherent k-rorbit. Let p be a prime divisor of k, then it follows that $p < q$ and hence there exists an automorphic p-subspace $I_p \subset I_q$. Therefore there exists a coherent p-subrorbit $Y_p(I_p)$ of a p-rorbit $X_p(I_p)$ on the q-subspace I_q. Since $q|n$, classes of the partition $L_p = GY_p(I_p)$ of $X_p(I_p)$ are not intersected on V and hence $X_q(I_q)$ can not contain a coherent q-subrorbit $Y_q(I_q)$ on the k-subspace I_k. Contradiction. □

6.2 The proof of theorem 4

Let G be a transitive group, then it contains a transitive, imprimitive subgroup. So we can assume that G is imprimitive. Then for some prime divisor p of n there exists a partition $I = \{I_p^i : i \in [1, l]\}$ of V on G-isomorphic, automorphic p-subspaces. Let X_n be a n-orbit of G, $X_p = \hat{p}(I_p^i)X_n$ and $X_{2p}^{ij} = \hat{p}(I_p^i \circ I_p^j)X_n = \uplus X_p \circ \uplus X_p$. Accordingly to corollary 35 $X_{2p}^{ij} = \cup_t(X_p \overset{\phi_t(i,j)}{\circ} X_p) \equiv \cup L_p(i, j)$ for some maps $\{\phi_t(i, j)\}$. It follows that the action of any cycle of length p, that is generated with some p-rcycle from X_p, on partitions $L_p(i, j)$ generates cycles of length p that are again connected with p-rcycles from X_p. Hence G contains a regular permutation of order p.

7 Some applications of k-orbit theory

7.1 Solvability of groups of odd order

Now we shall show that the k-orbit theory gives a simple proof of the W. Feit, J.G. Thompson theorem: Solvability of groups of odd order [2].

In this section we do not difference between a finite group and its *ld*-representation. Also we assume that a finite group is not a direct product.

Lemma 116 *Let $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$ be an incoherent k-orbit, then G is not a simple group.*

Proof: Let $Y_k \subset X_k$ be a k-block and $L_k = Aut(X_k)Y_k$, then it is evident that a concatenation of classes from L_k is a n-orbit of a normal subgroup $H \lhd Aut(X_k) = Aut(L_k)$ and that every transitive subgroup $G < Aut(L_k)$ has non-trivial intersection with H. □

Corollary 117 *Let G be a (non-cyclic) simple group, then it is (non-trivial) primitive.*

Theorem 118 *Any primitive group G contains an involution.*

Proof: Let n be odd, then there exist odd numbers $k < l \leq n$, so that $(k,l) = 1$ and there exist automorphic subspaces $I_k \subset I_l$. If $r = [l/k]$ is odd, then $m = l - rk$ is even and there exists an automorphic subspace I_m (lemma 63). If r is even, then m is odd and, because of primitivity of G, we can choose $l := k$ and $k := m$, if $(k,m) = 1$, or else $l := l$ and $k := m$. □

Corollary 119 *Let G be a (non-cyclic) simple group, then it contains an involution.*

Corollary 120 *Let G be a group of odd order, then it is an imprimitive and hence solvable group.*

7.2 A full invariant of a finite group

Here we shall discuss the problem of a full invariant of a finite group F and assume that F is not a direct product.

At first we can note that, if the ld-representation G of F is unique accurate to similarity, then a full invariant of F is defined by a full invariant of G. Then we have two cases: G is primitive and G is imprimitive.

7.2.1 Let G be primitive

Proposition 121 *Let $\neg(l|n)$, I_l be an automorphic subspace and $k = n - l[n/l]$, then*

1. *k divides $|G|$;*
2. *there exists an automorphic k-subspace I_k;*
3. *a subgroup $Stab(I_k)$ has non-trivial normalizer in G.*

Proof: The statements follows directly from lemmas 63 and 57. □

Proposition 122 *Let $\neg(k|n)$ and X_k be a k-rorbit, then X_k contains an elementary V-coherent k-suborbit.*

Proof: The statement follows from the definition of an elementary V-coherent k-orbit. □

So we see that $|G|$ and n are high dependent and in general the degree n allows to define whether there can exist a group G of order ν. Also an elementary U-coherent k-suborbit on every possible automorphic subset $U \subset V$ is unique accurate to similarity.

All these facts suggest us the hypothesis that $|G|$ and n could be a full invariant of G in the considered case.

7.2.2 Let G be imprimitive

Let k be a maximal automorphic divisor of n, then there exists an incoherent k-rorbit X_k of G. Let $Y_k \subset X_k$ be a k-block and $L_k = Aut(X_k)Y_k = Aut(L_k)Y_k$, then $G < Aut(L_k)$, $L_k = GY_k$ and Y_k is a k-orbit of a maximal normal subgroup $H \lhd G$, because of lemma 116.

Let us to assume that we know Y_k, $|G|$ and n. It gives us the next information: $|L_k| = n/k$, $|X_k| = |Y_k||L_k|$, $|H| = |G|/|L_k|$ and $|Stab(I_k)| = |G|/|X_k| = |H|/|Y_k|$. In addition we know that n-orbit X_n of G is a $|L_k| \times |L_k|$ matrix M, whose elements are multi-classes of L_k and which gives a regular representation of a factor group $\Phi = G/H$. Since H is a maximal normal subgroup, hence Φ is a simple group.

Let Φ be not a cyclic group, then it is not a ld-representation. But from here follows that G is not a ld-representation too. This contradiction shows us that Φ is always a cyclic group.

In order to give a full description of the group G we have to find the elements of matrix M. Let $L_k = \{Y_k^i : i \in [1, p]\}$ and $\Phi = gr((1 \dots p))$, then we know that $M_{ij} = Y_k^r$, where $r = i + j - 1(mod\ p)$. One of possible construction of M is obtained as next. Every element M_{ij} is obtained from the element M_{1j} by permutation of columns and every element M_{1j} is obtained from the element M_{11} by permutation of lines. The columns of the element Mij are permutated relative to the columns of the element M_{1j} with automorphisms of M_{1j} that are similar to automorphisms of M_{11}. The lines of the element M_{1j} are permutated relative to lines of the element M_{11} on the condition to maintain the automorphism property of M_{11}.

So, for obtaining of a full number invariant in this imprimitive case it wants to find the number invariants that allow to calculate corresponding $p^2 - 1$ permutations.

Now we consider the properties of Y_k.

Lemma 123 *$Aut(Y_k)$ is imprimitive.*

Proof: Let $Aut(Y_k)$ be primitive, then Y_k contains an elementary coherent q-subrorbit for some prime $q < k$. From here follows that permutations generating elements of matrix M are trivial and hence G is a direct product. Contradiction. □

Corollary 124 *$Aut(Y_k)$ is regular.*

Corollary 125 *G is p-group.*

So we can formulate

Hypothesis 126 *Full invariant of not p-group is defined with $|G|$ and n and full invariant of p-group of order p^m is defined with maximum mp^2 permutations or corresponding numbers that define these permutations.*

7.3 The polynomial algorithm of graph isomorphism testing

The graph isomorphism problem has a polynomial solution, if the problem of separating of orbits of the automorphism group of a graph has a polynomial solution. So we want to find the partition $O_2 = Aut(X_2)X_2 \subset Orb_2(Aut(X_2))$ of a 2-set $X_2 \subset V^{(2)}$ polynomially on n.

Let $X_k \subset V^{(k)}$ be a k-set. We say that X_k is *transitive*, if All 1-projections of X_k, are equal. We say that X_k is *regular*, if it satisfy to the two conditions:

1. Every l-multiprojection of X_k for $l \in [1, k]$ is homogeneous.
2. All l-projections of X_k, containing the same l-tuple, are equal.

Lemma 127 *Let X_2 be a regular 2-set and X_1^1, X_1^2 be its 1-projections. Let $|X_2| = |X_1^1|$. If $X_1^1 \neq X_1^2$, then X_2 is automorphic. If $X_1^1 = X_1^2$, then the partition $O_2 = Aut(X_2)X_2$ is detected directly.*

So the problem presents, if $|X_2|/|X_1^1| > 1$ and $|X_2|/|X_1^2)| > 1$. Let $X_2 \subset V^{(2)}$ be a regular 2-set and $\cup Co(X_2) = V$, then $Aut(X_2)$ is (generally intransitive) group of degree n and all prime cycles from $Aut(X_2)$ have the length not greater than n. Let X_2 be automorphic and $Y_2 \subset X_2$ be a 2-orbit of a subgroup $A < Aut(X_2)$, then $R_2 = AX_2$ is a partition of X_2 on 2-orbits of A and hence classes of R_2 are regular 2-sets.

The fundamental role in polynomial solution of considered problem plays the theorem 97. One can see that cyclic structure, that was described for transitive $(2k)$-orbits, exists also on intransitive $(2k)$-orbits. But the direction (left, right, left, right,...) must be change to (left, right, right, left, left,...). We can also note that in general, if we have a regular 2-set $X_2 \subset V^{(2)}$, then we have also a partition P_2 of $V^{(2)}$ on regular 2-sets invariant relative to $Aut(X_2)$. Thus with given intransitive regular 2-set X_2 we can also find transitive, regular, $Aut(X_2)$-invariant 2-sets of P_2.

Algorithm 128 *Let now X_2 be arbitrary regular, 2-set and we try find its automorphism, then we follow the next steps:*

1. *Find an automorphic 2-subset $Y_2 \subset X_2$ that is expected to be a 2-suborbit of $Aut(X_2)$.*
2. *Construct a partition R_2^i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, iterating the process that follows from theorem 97. By each iteration verify classes of R_2^i on regularity and subpartition them if they are not regular.*

This process leads to an automorphism, possibly trivial.

To find Y_2 is not difficult. At first it could be taken a subset $Y_2(u)$ of X_2 whose 1-projection $\hat{p}(I_1^1)Y_2$ is an element u of V. Thus we can define whether $Stab(u)$ is trivial. If it is trivial, then it follows that X_2 is incoherent and can be partition on coherent 2-subsets.

If $Aut(X_2)$ is trivial, then given algorithm detects this triviality in maximally n steps, if to assume that in each step only one element of V is separated.

Using lemma 62 we can, having automorphic partitions R'_k and R''_k of X_k, obtain new more big partition $R_k = R'_k \sqcup R''_k$.

For simplification of the process it can be chosen for partitioning on i-th iteration the most suitable 2-set X_2^i from the partition P_2^i of $V^{(2)}$, on regular 2-sets invariant to $Aut(X_2)$, and, by partitioning of X_2^i, the whole partition P_2^i can be further partitioned to regular classes P_2^{i+1} and used in the next iteration.

Conclusion

This work was initiated by the polycirculant conjecture, described by P. Comeron in his text [1] and represented on the site (`http://www.maths.qmw.ac.uk/~pjc/homepage.html`).

The using of k-orbits to the polynomial solution of the graph isomorphism problem was begun by Author in 1984. The generalization of k-orbits, regular k-sets, was used for describing of the structure of strongly regular graphs and their generalization on dimensions greater as two. This approach discovered the difference between the structure of strongly symmetrical but not automorphic partitions of $V^{(k)}$ and automorphic partitions of $V^{(k)}$.

From this point of view the polycirculant conjecture seemed enough simple. But nevertheless to find a correct proof was very difficult and only the analysis of two examples of permutation groups (one elusive group of order 72 and degree 12, and the automorphism group of Peterson graph), that was presented to author by P. Comeron, leaded to discovery of the specific properties of k-orbits, not detectable with group theory, that brought a proof of the conjecture.

In 1997 Author understood the connection between the graph isomorphism problem and the problem of a full invariant of a finite group and has done some attempts to obtain this full invariant by construction of some appropriate group representations. This work gave better understanding of the problem but did not bring the expected result. By construction of the k-orbit theory it was of interest to consider a finite group with new representation and this time the result was obtained.

Also the specific symmetry properties of k-orbits, that are not visible in other most algebraic theories, gave possibility for simple polynomial solution of the graph isomorphism problem.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express many thanks to Prof. P. Cameron for encouraging to do this work and for the counterexamples to not correct hypotheses on the way to prove the polycirculant conjecture.

References

[1] Peter J. Cameron, *Elusive groups and the polycirculant conjecture*, Queen Mary, University of London, Barcelona, February 2001

[2] Daniel Gorenstein, *Finite simple groups*, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1982